arXiv:0906.4617v1 [math.QA] 25 Jun 2009

QUADRATIC LIE ALGEBRAS

ALESSANDRO ARDIZZONI AND FABIO STUMBO

Abstract. In this paper, the notion of universal enveloping algebra introduced in [A. Ardizzoni, *A First Sight Towards Primitively Generated Connected Braided Bialgebras*, submitted. (arXiv:0805.3391v3)] is specialized to the case of braided vector spaces whose Nichols algebra is quadratic as an algebra. In this setting a classification of universal enveloping algebras for braided vector spaces of dimension not greater than 2 is handled. As an application, we investigate the structure of primitively generated connected braided bialgebras whose braided vector space of primitive elements forms a Nichols algebra which is quadratic algebra.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 3
2.1. The universal enveloping algebra 5
3. General results on quadratic algebras 6
3.1. Quadratic Lie algebras 6
3.2. Lifted quadratic Lie algebras 9
3.3. Categorical subspaces 12
4. Low dimensional cases 13
4.1. Dimension 1 13
4.2. Dimension 2 13
Appendix A. Further results 24
References 27

1. Introduction

Let K be a fixed field. A braided vector space (V,c) consists of a vector space V over K and a K-linear map $c:V\otimes V\to V\otimes V$, called braiding of V, which satisfies the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (2.1). A braided bialgebra is then a braided vector space which is both an algebra and a coalgebra with structures compatible with the braiding. Examples of braided bialgebras arises as bialgebras in braided monoidal categories.

In [Ar], the structure of primitively generated connected braided bialgebras A with respect to the braided vector space (P,c) consisting of their primitive elements

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16W30; Secondary 16S30.

Key words and phrases. braided bialgebras, braided Lie algebras, universal enveloping algebras, quadratic algebras, Nichols algebras, Computer Algebra System.

This paper was written while the authors were members of GNSAGA with partial financial support from MIUR (PRIN 2007).

is investigated. The braided vector space (P,c) is endowed with a suitable K-linear map $b : E(P,c) \to P$ and the datum (P,c,b) is called a braided Lie algebra (ordinary Lie algebras can be understood as a particular case of this notion). Here $E(P,c)$ denotes the space of primitive elements of the tensor algebra $T(P)$ having degree at least two. When the Nichols algebra of (P,c) is obtained dividing out the tensor algebra $T(P)$ by the two-sided ideal generated by $E(P,c)$, in [Ar] it is shown that A can be recovered as a sort of universal enveloping algebra $U(P,c,b)$ of the braided Lie algebra (P,c,b). As an application of this construction, in [Ar] it is proved that if A is a connected braided bialgebra such that the graded coalgebra $\mathrm{gr}A$ associated to the coradical filtration is a quadratic algebra with respect to its natural braided bialgebra structure, then, see Theorem 3.2, A is isomorphic as a braided bialgebra to the universal enveloping algebra $U(P,c,b)$ of the braided Lie algebra (P,c,b) of primitive elements of A. It is remarkable that in this context the Nichols algebra $B(P,c)$ is a quadratic algebra.

Motivated by this observation, in this paper we investigate the structure of braided Lie algebras (V,c,b) whose Nichols algebra is quadratic as an algebra and of the corresponding universal enveloping algebra $U(V,c,b)$. First, in Theorem 3.11, we prove that when the Nichols algebra is quadratic, then the universal enveloping algebra simplifies as follows:

$$U(V,c,b) = \frac{T(V,c)}{\left(\left(\mathrm{Id} - \bar{\beta}\right)\left[E_2(V,c)\right]\right)}$$

where $\bar{\beta} : E_2(V,c) \to V$ is the restriction of b to the space $E_2(V,c) = E(P,c) \cap V^{\otimes 2} = \ker\left(c + \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}}\right)$, so that the datum $(V,c,\bar{\beta})$ completely encodes the structure of the universal enveloping algebra and it is called a quadratic Lie algebra (QLie algebra for short), see Definition 3.5. Thus it is natural to write $U_Q\left(V,c,\bar{\beta}\right)$ instead of $U(V,c,b)$. Now, in Lemma 3.15, we prove that, under the further assumption that the braiding c is root of a polynomial $f \in K[X]$ of the form $f = (X+1)h$ where $h \in K[X]$ is such that $h(-1) \neq 0$, then $\bar{\beta} : E_2(V,c) \to V$ is completely determined by the map $\beta := \bar{\beta}h(c) : V \otimes V \to V$. Moreover, by Remark 3.16, we have the following further simplification

$$U_Q\left(V,c,\bar{\beta}\right) = \frac{T(V,c)}{\left(h(c)(z) - \beta(z) \mid z \in V^{\otimes 2}\right)}.$$

Thus, as above, the datum (V,c,β) completely encodes the structure of the universal enveloping algebra and it is called a lifted quadratic Lie algebra (lifted QLie algebra for short), see Definition 3.12, so we will write $U_Q(V,c,\beta)$ instead of $U_Q\left(V,c,\bar{\beta}\right)$.

In Section 4, we classify up to isomorphism all lifted QLie algebras (V,c,β) such that $\dim(V) \leq 2$ and $\dim(\mathrm{Im}\beta) = 1$, under mild assumptions (the case $\dim(\mathrm{Im}\beta) = 2$ is partially treated in the Appendix A). The corresponding enveloping algebras are also described explicitly in the main Theorem 4.4. As an application, in Theorem 4.9, we study primitively generated connected braided bialgebras A with braided vector space of primitive elements (P,c) such that the Nichols algebra $B(P,c)$ is a quadratic algebra and c is root of a polynomial $f \in K[X]$ having -1 as a simple root. Such an A is isomorphic to the universal enveloping algebra $U_Q(V,c,\beta)$ of a suitable lifted QLie algebra (P,c,β) constructed on (P,c). Thus the previous results apply.

We point out that many computations of this last part of the paper have been handled with the help of the Computer Algebra System AXIOM [AX].

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper K will denote a field. All vector spaces will be defined over K and the tensor product of two vector spaces will be denoted by $\otimes$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let V be a vector space. Given a K-linear map α acting on the space $V^{\otimes l}$, then we will denote by α_i then K-linear map $V^{\otimes i-1} \otimes \alpha \otimes V^{n-i-l+1}$ acting on the space $V^{\otimes n}, n \geq l+i-1$.*

Definition 2.2. Let V be a vector space over a field K. A K-linear map $c : V \otimes V \to V \otimes V$ is called a **braiding of** V if it satisfies the quantum Yang-Baxter equation

$$c_1 c_2 c_1 = c_2 c_1 c_2 \tag{2.1}$$

on $V \otimes V \otimes V$, where $c_1 := c \otimes V$ and $c_2 := V \otimes c$. The pair (V, c) will be called a **braided vector space** (or YB-space). A morphism of braided vector spaces (V, c_V) and (W, c_W) is a K-linear map $f : V \to W$ such that $c_W(f \otimes f) = (f \otimes f)c_V$.

A general method for producing braided vector spaces is to take an arbitrary braided category $(\mathcal{M}, \otimes, K, a, l, r, c)$, which is a monoidal subcategory of the category of K-vector spaces. Hence any object $V \in \mathcal{M}$ can be regarded as a braided vector space with respect to $c := c_{V,V}$. Here, $c_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \to Y \otimes X$ denotes the braiding in $\mathcal{M}$. The category of comodules over a coquasitriangular Hopf algebra and the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules are examples of such categories. More particularly, every bicharacter of a group G induces a braiding on the category of G-graded vector spaces.

Definition 2.3. [Ba] The quadruple (A, m_A, u_A, c_A) is called a **braided algebra** if

- (A, m_A, u_A) is an associative unital algebra;
- (A, c_A) is a braided vector space;
- m_A and u_A commute with c_A, that is the following conditions hold:

$$c_A(m_A \otimes A) = (A \otimes m_A)(c_A \otimes A)(A \otimes c_A), \tag{2.2}$$

$$c_A(A \otimes m_A) = (m_A \otimes A)\,(A \otimes c_A)\,(c_A \otimes A), \tag{2.3}$$

$$c_A(u_A \otimes A) = A \otimes u_A, \qquad c_A(A \otimes u_A) = u_A \otimes A. \tag{2.4}$$

A morphism of braided algebras is, by definition, a morphism of ordinary algebras which, in addition, is a morphism of braided vector spaces.

Definition 2.4. The quadruple $(C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C, c_C)$ is called a **braided coalgebra** if

- $(C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C)$ is a coassociative counital coalgebra;
- (C, c_C) is a braided vector space;
- Δ_C and ε_C commute with c_C, that is the following relations hold:

$$(\Delta_C \otimes C)c_C = (C \otimes c_C)(c_C \otimes C)(C \otimes \Delta_C), \tag{2.5}$$

$$(C \otimes \Delta_C)c_C = (c_C \otimes C)(C \otimes c_C)(\Delta_C \otimes C), \tag{2.6}$$

$$(\varepsilon_C \otimes C)c_C = C \otimes \varepsilon_C, \qquad (C \otimes \varepsilon_C)c_C = \varepsilon_C \otimes C. \tag{2.7}$$

A morphism of braided coalgebras is, by definition, a morphism of ordinary coalgebras which, in addition, is a morphism of braided vector spaces.

Definition 2.5. [Ta, Definition 5.1] A sextuple $(B, m_B, u_B, \Delta_B, \varepsilon_B, c_B)$ is a called a **braided bialgebra** if

- (B, m_B, u_B, c_B) is a braided algebra
- $(B, \Delta_B, \varepsilon_B, c_B)$ is a braided coalgebra
- the following relations hold:

$$\Delta_B m_B = (m_B \otimes m_B)(B \otimes c_B \otimes B)(\Delta_B \otimes \Delta_B). \tag{2.8}$$

Definition 2.6. We will need graded versions of braided algebras, coalgebras and bialgebras. A **graded braided algebra** is a braided algebra (A, m_A, u_A, c_A) such that $A = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} A^n$ and $m_A(A^m \otimes A^n) \subseteq A^{m+n}$, for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. The braiding c_A is assumed to satisfy $c_A(A^m \otimes A^n) \subseteq A^n \otimes A^m$. It is easy to see that $1_A = u_A(1_K) \in A^0$. Therefore a graded braided algebra is defined by maps $m_A^{m,n} : A^m \otimes A^n \to A^{m+n}$ and $c_A^{m,n} : A^m \otimes A^n \to A^n \otimes A^m$, and by an element $1 \in A^0$ such that for all $n, m, p \in \mathbb{N}, a \in A^n$

$$m_A^{n+m,p}(m_A^{n,m} \otimes A^p) = m_A^{n,m+p}(A^n \otimes m_A^{m,p}), \tag{2.9}$$

$$m_A^{0,n}(1 \otimes a) = a = m_A^{n,0}(a \otimes 1), \tag{2.10}$$

$$c_A^{n+m,p}(m_A^{n,m} \otimes A^p) = (A^p \otimes m_A^{n,m})(c_A^{n,p} \otimes A^m)(A^n \otimes c_A^{m,p}), \tag{2.11}$$

$$c_A^{n,m+p}(A^n \otimes m_A^{m,p}) = (m_A^{m,p} \otimes A^n)(A^m \otimes c_A^{n,p})(c_A^{n,m} \otimes A^p), \tag{2.12}$$

$$c_A^{0,n}(1 \otimes a) = a \otimes 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad c_A^{n,0}(a \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes a. \tag{2.13}$$

The multiplication m_A can be recovered from $(m_A^{n,m})_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}}$ as the unique K-linear map such that $m_A(x \otimes y) = m_A^{p,q}(x \otimes y)$, for all $p, q \in \mathbb{N}, x \in A^p, y \in A^q$. Analogously, the braiding c_A is uniquely defined by $c_A(x \otimes y) = c_A^{p,q}(x \otimes y)$, for all $p, q \in \mathbb{N}, x \in A^p, y \in A^q$. We will say that $m_A^{n,m}$ and $c_A^{n,m}$ are the (n, m)-homogeneous components of ∇ and c_A, respectively.

Graded braided coalgebras can by described in a similar way. By definition a braided coalgebra $(C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C, c_C)$ is graded if $C = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} C^n$, $\Delta_C(C^n) \subseteq \sum_{p+q=n} C^p \otimes C^q$, $c_C(C^n \otimes C^m) \subseteq C^m \otimes C^n$ and $\varepsilon_{C|_{C_n}} = 0$, for $n > 0$. If π^p denotes the projection onto C^p then the comultiplication Δ_C is uniquely defined by maps $\Delta_C^{p,q} : C^{p+q} \to C^p \otimes C^q$, where $\Delta_C^{p,q} := (\pi^p \otimes \pi^q)\Delta_C|_{C^{p+q}}$. The counit is given by a map $\varepsilon_C^0 : C^0 \to K$, while the braiding c_C is uniquely determined by a family $(c_C^{n,m})_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}}$, as for braided algebras. The families $(\Delta_C^{n,m})_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(c_C^{n,m})_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}}$ and ε_C^0 has to satisfy the relations that are dual to (2.9) – (2.13), namely for all $n, m, p \in \mathbb{N}, c \in C^n, d \in C^0$:

$$(\Delta_C^{n,m} \otimes C^p)\Delta_C^{n+m,p} = (C^n \otimes \Delta_C^{m,p})\Delta_C^{n,m+p}, \tag{2.14}$$

$$(\varepsilon_C^0 \otimes C^n)\Delta_C^{0,n}(c) = c = (C^n \otimes \varepsilon_C^0)\Delta_C^{n,0}(c), \tag{2.15}$$

$$(C^p \otimes \Delta_C^{n,m})c_C^{n+m,p} = (c_C^{n,p} \otimes C^m)(C^n \otimes c_C^{m,p})(\Delta_C^{n,m} \otimes C^p), \tag{2.16}$$

$$(\Delta_C^{m,p} \otimes C^n)c_C^{n,m+p} = (C^m \otimes c_C^{n,p})(c_C^{n,m} \otimes C^p)(C^n \otimes \Delta_C^{m,p}), \tag{2.17}$$

$$(\varepsilon_C^0 \otimes C)c_C(c \otimes d) = \varepsilon_C^0(d)c = (C \otimes \varepsilon_C^0)c_C(d \otimes c). \tag{2.18}$$

We will say that $\Delta_C^{n,m}$ is the (n, m)-homogeneous component of Δ_C.

A **graded braided bialgebra** is a braided bialgebra which is graded both as an algebra and as a coalgebra.

Definition 2.7. Recall that a coalgebra C is called **connected** if C_0, the coradical of C (i.e the sum of simple subcoalgebras of C), is one dimensional. In this case there is a unique group-like element $1_C \in C$ such that $C_0 = K1_C$. A morphism of connected coalgebras is a coalgebra homomorphisms (clearly it preserves the grouplike elements).

By definition, a braided coalgebra (C, c_C) is **connected** if $C_0 = K1_C$ and, for any $x \in C$,

$$c_C(x \otimes 1_C) = 1_C \otimes x, \qquad c_C(1_C \otimes x) = x \otimes 1_C. \tag{2.19}$$

2.1. **The universal enveloping algebra.** In this subsection we recall some definitions and notations from [Ar].

Definition 2.8. Let $T := T(V, c)$ and set

$$E(V,c) := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n(V,c)$$

where

$$E_n(V,c) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0, 1, \\ \bigcap\limits_{\substack{a,b \geq 1 \\ a+b=n}} \ker\left(\Delta_T^{a,b}\right) & \text{if } n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

The elements of $E(V,c)$ are the primitive elements in T of degree greater then 1 (cf. [Ar, Lemma 3.3]). Note that $E_2(V,c) = \ker\left(\Delta_T^{1,1}\right) = \ker\left(c + \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}}\right)$.

Claim 2.9. It is easy to check that the braiding c_T of the tensor algebra $T := T(V,c)$ induces for all $u \in \mathbb{N}$ maps

$$\begin{aligned} c_{E_t(V,c), V^{\otimes u}} : E_t(V,c) \otimes V^{\otimes u} &\to V^{\otimes u} \otimes E_t(V,c), \\ c_{V^{\otimes u}, E_t(V,c)} : V^{\otimes u} \otimes E_t(V,c) &\to E_t(V,c) \otimes V^{\otimes u}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can define the following maps

$$\begin{aligned} c_{E(V,c),V^{\otimes u}} &: = \bigoplus_{t \in \mathbb{N}} c_{E_t(V,c),V^{\otimes u}} : E(V,c) \otimes V^{\otimes u} \to V^{\otimes u} \otimes E(V,c), \\ c_{V^{\otimes u},E(V,c)} &: = \bigoplus_{t \in \mathbb{N}} c_{V^{\otimes u},E_t(V,c)} : V^{\otimes u} \otimes E(V,c) \to E(V,c) \otimes V^{\otimes u}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.10. A **(braided) bracket** on a braided vector space (V,c) is a K-linear map $b : E(V,c) \to V$ such that

$$c(b \otimes V) = (V \otimes b)\, c_{E(V,c),V} \qquad c(V \otimes b) = (b \otimes V)\, c_{V,E(V,c)}. \tag{2.20}$$

The restriction of b to $E_t(V,c)$ will be denoted by $b^t : E_t(V,c) \to V$.

If b is a bracket on a braided vector space (V,c), then we define **the universal enveloping algebra of** (V,c,b) to be

$$U(V,c,b) := \frac{T(V,c)}{((\mathrm{Id} - b)[E(V,c)])} \tag{2.21}$$

which, by [AMS1, Theorem 6.3], is a braided bialgebra quotient of the tensor algebra $T(V,c)$. Note that 0 is always a bracket on (V,c) so that it makes sense to define the **symmetric algebra of** (V,c) by setting

$$S(V,c) := U(V,c,0) = \frac{T(V,c)}{(E(V,c))}.$$

We will denote by $\pi_U : T(V,c) \to U(V,c,b)$ (resp. $\pi_S : T(V,c) \to S(V,c)$) the canonical projection and by $i_U : V \to U(V,c,b)$ (resp. $i_S : V \to S(V,c)$) its restriction to V.

Definition 2.11. [Ar, Definition 5.6] We say that (V,c,b) is a **braided Lie algebra** whenever

- (V,c) is a braided vector space;
- $b:E\left(V,c\right)\rightarrow V$ is a bracket on (V,c);
- the canonical K-linear map $i_U : V \rightarrow U\left(V,c,b\right)$ is injective i.e.

$$V\cap\ker\left(\pi_U\right)=\ker\left(i_U\right)=0.$$

Claim 2.12. Let (V,c) be a braided vector space and let $T=T\left(V,c\right)$. By the universal property of the tensor algebra there is a unique algebra homomorphism

$$\Gamma^T : T\left(V,c\right)\rightarrow T^c\left(V,c\right)$$

such that $\Gamma^T_{|V}=\mathrm{Id}_V$, where $T^c\left(V,c\right)$ denotes the quantum shuffle algebra. This is a morphism of graded braided bialgebras. The **Nichols algebra** of (V,c) is defined by

$$B\left(V,c\right)=\mathrm{Im}\left(\Gamma^T\right)\simeq\frac{T\left(V,c\right)}{\ker\left(\Gamma^T\right)}.$$

3. General results on quadratic algebras

We recall from [Man, page 19] the definition of quadratic algebra.

Definition 3.1. A **quadratic algebra** is an associative graded K-algebra $A=\oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}}A^n$ such that:

1) $A^0=K$;
2) A is generated as a K-algebra by A^1;
3) the ideal of relations among elements of A^1 is generated by the subspace of all quadratic relations $R(A)\subseteq A^1\otimes A^1$.

Equivalently A is a graded K-algebra such that the natural map $\pi:T_K(A^1)\rightarrow A$ from the tensor algebra generated by A^1 is surjective and $\ker(\pi)$ is generated as a two sided ideal in $T_K(A^1)$ by $\ker(\pi)\cap[A^1\otimes A^1]$.

Theorem 3.2. [Ar, Theorem 9.5] *Let (A,c_A) be a connected braided bialgebra such that the graded coalgebra associated to the coradical filtration is a quadratic algebra with respect to its natural braided bialgebra structure. Let (P,c_P,b_P) be the infinitesimal braided Lie algebra of A. Then $\mathrm{B}\left(P,c_P\right)$ is a quadratic algebra and A is isomorphic to $U\left(P,c_P,b_P\right)$ as a braided bialgebra.*

Theorem 3.2 justifies our interest in the study of the universal enveloping algebra of braided Lie algebras (V,c,b) such that $B\left(V,c\right)$ is a quadratic algebra. Examples of braided vector spaces (V,c) such that $B\left(V,c\right)$ is a quadratic algebra can be found e.g. in [MS] and in [AG2]. By [AS3, Proposition 3.4], another example is given by braided vector spaces of Hecke-type with regular mark.

3.1. Quadratic Lie algebras.

In this subsection we introduce and study the notion of quadratic Lie algebra: we will see that this notion completely determines the universal enveloping algebra of a braided Lie algebra.

Definition 3.3. A **quadratic bracket** (Qbracket for short) on a braided vector space (V,c) is a K-linear map $\overline{\beta}=\overline{\beta}_V : E_2\left(V,c\right)\rightarrow V$ such that

$$c\overline{\beta}_1=\overline{\beta}_2c_{E_2(V,c),V}\qquad c\overline{\beta}_2=\overline{\beta}_1c_{V,E_2(V,c)}. \tag{3.1}$$

A **morphism of Qbrackets** is a morphism of braided vector spaces $f:(V,c_V)\to(W,c_W)$ such that $f\circ\overline{\beta}_V=\overline{\beta}_W\circ(f\otimes f)$. For any braided vector space (V,c), we set

$$\overline{E_2(V,c)}=(E_2(V,c)\otimes V)\cap(V\otimes E_2(V,c))=\left\{z\in V^{\otimes 3}\mid c_1(z)=-z=c_2(z)\right\}.$$

Remark 3.4. Let $\overline{\beta}:E_2(V,c)\to V$ be a Qbracket on a braided vector space (V,c). Then

$$(\overline{\beta}_1-\overline{\beta}_2)\left(\overline{E_2(V,c)}\right)\subseteq E_2(V,c). \tag{3.2}$$

In fact, let $z\in\overline{E_2(V,c)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c\left(\overline{\beta}_1-\overline{\beta}_2\right)(z) &= c\overline{\beta}_1(z)-c\overline{\beta}_2(z)\\ &\overset{(3.1)}{=} \overline{\beta}_2c_{E_2(V,c),V}(z)-\overline{\beta}_1c_{V,E_2(V,c)}(z)\\ &= \overline{\beta}_2(z)-\overline{\beta}_1(z). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.5. A **quadratic Lie algebra** (QLie algebra for short) is a tern $\left(V,c,\overline{\beta}\right)$ where

- (V,c) is a braided vector space;
- $\overline{\beta}:E_2(V,c)\to V$ is a Qbracket such that

$$\overline{\beta}\left(\overline{\beta}_1-\overline{\beta}_2\right)\left(\overline{E_2(V,c)}\right)=0. \tag{3.3}$$

Note that (3.3) makes sense in view of (3.2).

A **morphism of QLie algebras** $f:\left(V,c_V,\overline{\beta}_V\right)\to\left(W,c_W,\overline{\beta}_W\right)$ is by definition a morphism of Qbrackets $f:(V,c_V)\to(W,c_W)$.

If $\overline{\beta}$ is a Qbracket on a braided vector space (V,c), then we define **the quadratic universal enveloping algebra of** $\left(V,c,\overline{\beta}\right)$ to be

$$U_Q\left(V,c,\overline{\beta}\right):=\frac{T(V,c)}{\left(\left(\mathrm{Id}-\overline{\beta}\right)[E_2(V,c)]\right)}.$$

Mimicking the proof of [Ar, Theorem 3.9], one easily verifies that $U_Q\left(V,c,\overline{\beta}\right)$ is indeed a braided bialgebra quotient of the tensor algebra $T(V,c)$. Note that 0 is always a Qbracket on (V,c) so that it makes sense to define the **quadratic symmetric algebra of** (V,c) by setting

$$S_Q(V,c):=U_Q(V,c,0)=\frac{T(V,c)}{(E_2(V,c))}.$$

We will denote by $\pi_{U_Q}:T(V,c)\to U_Q(V,c,b)$ (resp. $\pi_{S_Q}:T(V,c)\to S_Q(V,c)$) the canonical projection and by $i_{U_Q}:V\to U_Q(V,c,b)$ (resp. $i_{S_Q}:V\to S_Q(V,c)$) its restriction to V.

Remark 3.6. Let $(V,I\oplus I^*=V^{\otimes 2},\overline{\beta})$ be a "braided Lie algebra" in the sense of [Gu, Definition 1]. Then $\overline{\beta}$ is a map from $V\otimes V$ to V where V is an object in the braided monoidal category $\mathfrak{A}$ defined therein. Hence the braiding of $\mathfrak{A}$ induces a braiding on V that we denote by c. Suppose $I:=E_2(V,c)=\ker(c+\mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}})$ (for instance this is what happens in [Gu, bottom of page 325] where V' plays the role of our V, see also [Ar, Example 9.6]) Then condition 0 in Gurevich's definition means that $S_Q(V,c)$ is a Koszul algebra. Condition 1 yields that $\overline{\beta}$ is completely determined by its restriction to I. Condition 2 entails that (3.3) holds. Condition 3 tells that

$\overline{\beta}$ is a morphism in $\mathfrak{A}$ so that $\overline{\beta}$ is compatible with the braiding of $\mathfrak{A}$ whence it is a Qbracket. Summing up we get that $\left(V,c,\overline{\beta}\right)$ is a QLie algebra such that $S_Q\left(V,c\right)$ is a Koszul algebra. Note also that $U_Q\left(V,c,\overline{\beta}\right)=U\left(\mathfrak{g}\right)$ in Gurevich's sense.

Claim 3.7. Let (V,c) be a braided vector space and let $\overline{\beta}:E_2\left(V,c\right)\rightarrow V$ be a Qbracket. Set

$$T:=T\left(V,c\right)\qquad\text{and}\qquad U_Q:=U_Q\left(V,c,b\right).$$

Let $\pi_{U_Q}:T\rightarrow U_Q$ be the canonical projection. By construction π_{U_Q} is a morphism of braided bialgebras. Set $T^{\leq n}:=\oplus_{0\leq t\leq n}V^{\otimes t}$ and

$$U_n^{\prime}:=\pi_{U_Q}\left(T^{\leq n}\right).$$

Then $(U_n^{\prime})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is both an algebra and a coalgebra filtration on U_Q, which is called the *standard filtration on* U_Q. Note that this filtration is not the coradical filtration of U_Q in general. Still one has $U_n^{\prime}=\pi_{U_Q}\left(T^{\leq n}\right)\subseteq\pi_{U_Q}\left(T_n\right)\subseteq\left(U_Q\right)_n$ where T_n and $\left(U_Q\right)_n$ denote the n-th terms of the coradical filtration of T and U_Q respectively. Denote by

$$\mathrm{gr}^{\prime}\left(U_Q\right):=\oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{U_n^{\prime}}{U_{n-1}^{\prime}}.$$

the graded coalgebra associated to the standard filtration, see [Sw, page 228].

If $\overline{\beta}=0$, then $S_Q\left(V,c\right)=U_Q\left(V,c,0\right)$ is a graded bialgebra of the form $S_Q\left(V,c\right)=\oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}}S_Q^n\left(V,c\right)$. The standard filtration on $S_Q\left(V,c\right)$ is the filtration associated to this grading.

Proposition 3.8. *Let* $\overline{\beta}:E_2\left(V,c\right)\rightarrow V$ *be a Qbracket on a braided vector space* (V,c). *Then* $\mathrm{gr}^{\prime}\left(U_Q\left(V,c,b\right)\right)$ *is a graded braided bialgebra and there is a canonical morphism of graded braided bialgebras* $\theta:S_Q\left(V,c\right)\rightarrow\mathrm{gr}^{\prime}\left(U_Q\left(V,c,b\right)\right)$ *which is surjective and lifts the map* $\theta_1:V\rightarrow U_1^{\prime}/U_0^{\prime}:v\mapsto\pi_{U_Q}\left(v\right)+U_0^{\prime}$.

Proof. It is similar to the proof of [Ar, Proposition 5.14]. □

Theorem 3.9. *Let* $\overline{\beta}:E_2\left(V,c\right)\rightarrow V$ *be a Qbracket on a braided vector space* (V,c). *Then* $\mathrm{B}\left(V,c\right)$ *is a quadratic algebra if and only if* $S_Q\left(V,c\right)=\mathrm{B}\left(V,c\right)$. *If this holds, the following assertions are equivalent.*

(i) $i_{U_Q}:V\rightarrow U_Q\left(V,c,\overline{\beta}\right)$ *is injective.*
(ii) $V\cap\ker\left(\pi_{U_Q}\right)=0$.
(iii) *The canonical map* $\theta:S\left(V,c\right)\rightarrow\mathrm{gr}^{\prime}\left(U_Q\left(V,c,\overline{\beta}\right)\right)$ *of Proposition 3.8 is an isomorphism of graded braided bialgebras.*
(iv) i_{U_Q} *induces an isomorphism between* V *and* $P\left(U_Q\left(V,c,\overline{\beta}\right)\right)$.

Proof. Clearly $B\left(V,c\right)$ is quadratic if it coincide with $S_Q\left(V,c\right)$. On the other hand, if $B\left(V,c\right)$ is quadratic, we get

$$\ker\left(\Gamma^T\right)=\left(\ker\left(\Gamma_2^T\right)\right)=\left(\ker\left(c+\mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}}\right)\right)=\left(E_2\left(V,c\right)\right)$$

and hence $S_Q\left(V,c\right)=B\left(V,c\right)$. From this equality, one gets that $P\left(S_Q\left(V,c\right)\right)=i_S\left(V\right)$. Using this equality the proof is similar to that of [Ar, Theorem 6.3]. □

Theorem 3.10. *Let* $\overline{\beta}:E_2\left(V,c\right)\rightarrow V$ *be a Qbracket on a braided vector space* (V,c). *Assume that the Nichols algebra* $\mathrm{B}\left(V,c\right)$ *is a quadratic algebra. Then* $\left(V,c,\overline{\beta}\right)$ *is a QLie algebra whenever* $i_{U_Q}:V\rightarrow U_Q\left(V,c,\overline{\beta}\right)$ *is injective. The converse is true if* $\mathrm{B}\left(V,c\right)$ *is Koszul.*

Proof. Let $P := \left(\mathrm{Id} - \overline{\beta}\right)\left[E_2\left(V,c\right)\right].$

Assume that $i_{U_Q} : V \rightarrow U_Q\left(V,c,\overline{\beta}\right)$ is injective. We have to prove that (3.3) holds. Let $z \in \overline{E_2\left(V,c\right)}$, then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} V & \ni & \overline{\beta}\left(\overline{\beta}_1 - \overline{\beta}_2\right)(z) = \left(\overline{\beta} - \mathrm{Id}\right)\left(\overline{\beta}_1 - \overline{\beta}_2\right)(z) + \left(\overline{\beta}_1 - \mathrm{Id}\right)(z) + \left(\mathrm{Id} - \overline{\beta}_2\right)(z) \\ & \in & P + P \otimes V + V \otimes P \subseteq \ker\left(\pi_{U_Q}\right). \end{array}$$

By Theorem 3.9, we have $V \cap \ker\left(\pi_{U_Q}\right) = 0$ so that $\overline{\beta}\left(\overline{\beta}_1 - \overline{\beta}_2\right)(z) = 0$.

Conversely, assume that $\left(V,c,\overline{\beta}\right)$ is a QLie algebra and that $B\left(V,c\right)$ is Koszul. By Theorem 3.9, $i_{U_Q} : V \rightarrow U_Q\left(V,c,\overline{\beta}\right)$ is injective if and only if the canonical map $\theta : S\left(V,c\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}'\left(U_Q\left(V,c,\overline{\beta}\right)\right)$ of Proposition 3.8 is an isomorphism of graded braided bialgebras. This means that $P \leq K \oplus V \oplus V^{\otimes 2}$ is of PBW type in the sense of [BG]. By [BG, Theorem 0.5], P is of PBW if and only if

$$P \cap T^{\leq 1} = 0; \tag{3.4}$$

$$\left(T^{\leq 1} \cdot P \cdot T^{\leq 1}\right) \cap T^{\leq 2} = P. \tag{3.5}$$

Let us prove that (3.4) is always true. Let $\gamma := \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}} - \overline{\beta} : E_2\left(V,c\right) \rightarrow V \oplus V^{\otimes 2}$. Then $\mathrm{Im}\left(\gamma\right) = \left(1 - \overline{\beta}\right)\left(E_2\left(V,c\right)\right) = P$. Let $y \in P \cap T^{\leq 1}$. Then $y = \gamma\left(x\right)$ for some $x \in E_2\left(V,c\right)$ so that

$$K \oplus V = T^{\leq 1} \ni y = \left(\mathrm{Id} - \overline{\beta}\right)(x) = x - \overline{\beta}\left(x\right) \in V^{\otimes 2} \oplus V.$$

Thus $x = 0$ and hence $y = \gamma\left(x\right) = 0$ so that (3.4) is always true. Let us check that (3.5) is equivalent to (3.2) and (3.3). We have

$$P = \left(\mathrm{Id} - \overline{\beta}\right)\left[E_2\left(V,c\right)\right] = \left\{x - \overline{\beta}\left(x\right) \mid x \in E_2\left(V,c\right)\right\}.$$

The conclusion follows by applying [BG, Lemma 3.3] to the case $\alpha = b$ and $\beta = 0$. □

Theorem 3.11. *Let (V,c,b) be a braided Lie algebra such that $\mathrm{B}\left(V,c\right)$ is a quadratic algebra. Then $\left(V,c,b^2\right)$ is a QLie algebra and $U\left(V,c,b\right) = U_Q\left(V,c,b^2\right)$.*

Proof. By definition

$$U\left(V,c,b\right) := \frac{T\left(V,c\right)}{\left(\left(\mathrm{Id} - b\right)\left[E\left(V,c\right)\right]\right)} \quad \text{and} \quad U_Q\left(V,c,b^2\right) := \frac{T\left(V,c\right)}{\left(\left(\mathrm{Id} - b^2\right)\left[E_2\left(V,c\right)\right]\right)}.$$

Since $\left(\mathrm{Id} - b^2\right)\left[E_2\left(V,c\right)\right] \subseteq \left(\mathrm{Id} - b\right)\left[E\left(V,c\right)\right]$, there is a braided bialgebra homomorphism $\pi : U_Q\left(V,c,b^2\right) \rightarrow U\left(V,c,b\right)$ which is surjective and such that $\pi \circ i_{U_Q} = i_U$. By Definition 2.11, we have that i_U is injective so that i_{U_Q} is injective too. Clearly $b^2 : E_2\left(V,c\right) \rightarrow V$ is a Qbracket. Hence, by Theorem 3.9, $i_{U_Q} : V \rightarrow U_Q\left(V,c,b\right)$ induces an isomorphism between V and $P\left(U_Q\left(V,c,b\right)\right)$. Thus, by [Mo, Lemma 5.3.3], π is injective being injective its restriction to $P\left(U_Q\left(V,c,b\right)\right)$. In other words, $U\left(V,c,b\right) = U_Q\left(V,c,b^2\right)$. By Theorem 3.10, $\left(V,c,b^2\right)$ is a QLie algebra. □

3.2. **Lifted quadratic Lie algebras.** We will see that the notion of quadratic Lie algebra naturally leads to the equivalent notion of lifted quadratic Lie algebra.

Definition 3.12. A **lifted quadratic Lie algebra** (lifted QLie algebra for short) is a tern (V,c,β) where

- (V,c) is a braided vector space;

- $\beta : V \otimes V \to V$ is a map such that

$$c\beta_1 = \beta_2 c_1 c_2, \qquad c\beta_2 = \beta_1 c_2 c_1, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\beta\left(\beta_1 - \beta_2\right)\left(\overline{E_2\left(V,c\right)}\right) = 0, \tag{3.7}$$

$$\beta c = -\beta, \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$\overline{E_2\left(V,c\right)} = \left(E_2\left(V,c\right) \otimes V\right) \cap \left(V \otimes E_2\left(V,c\right)\right) = \left\{z \in V^{\otimes 3} \mid c_1\left(z\right) = -z = c_2\left(z\right)\right\}.$$

Lemma 3.13. *Let W be a vector space and let $c \in End\left(W\right)$. Assume there are $\alpha, \beta \in K\left[X\right]$ be such that $\alpha\left(c\right) \circ \beta\left(c\right) = 0$ and $\gcd\left(\alpha, \beta\right) = 1$. Then*

i) $\mathrm{Im}\left(\alpha\left(c\right)\right) = \ker\left(\beta\left(c\right)\right)$;
ii) $\mathrm{Im}\left(\alpha\left(c\right)\right) \oplus \mathrm{Im}\left(\beta\left(c\right)\right) = W$.

Proof. Since $\mathrm{GCD}(\alpha, \beta) = 1$, there are $\alpha', \beta' \in K\left[X\right]$ such that $\alpha'\alpha + \beta'\beta = 1$.

i) Clearly $\mathrm{Im}\left(\alpha\left(c\right)\right) \subseteq \ker\left(\beta\left(c\right)\right)$. Let $z \in \ker\left(\beta\left(c\right)\right)$. Then

$$z = \left(\alpha'\left(c\right) \circ \alpha\left(c\right) + \beta'\left(c\right) \circ \beta\left(c\right)\right)\left(z\right) = \alpha\left(c\right)\left[\alpha'\left(c\right)\left(z\right)\right] \in \mathrm{Im}\left(\alpha\left(c\right)\right).$$

ii) From $\alpha\alpha' + \beta\beta' = 1$ we deduce $\mathrm{Im}\left(\alpha\left(c\right)\right) + \mathrm{Im}\left(\beta\left(c\right)\right) = W$. Let $w \in \mathrm{Im}\left(\alpha\left(c\right)\right) \cap \mathrm{Im}\left(\beta\left(c\right)\right)$. Then $w = \alpha\left(c\right)\left(z\right)$ for some $z \in W$, whence

$$z = \alpha'\left(c\right)\alpha\left(c\right)\left(z\right) + \beta\left(c\right)\beta'\left(c\right)\left(z\right) = \alpha'\left(c\right)\left(w\right) + \beta\left(c\right)\beta'\left(c\right)\left(z\right) \in \mathrm{Im}\left(\beta\left(c\right)\right).$$

Thus $w = \alpha\left(c\right)\left(z\right) = 0$ as $\alpha\left(c\right) \circ \beta\left(c\right) = 0$. □

NOTATION 3.14. *Let (V, c) be a braided vector space and assume that c is root of a polynomial $f \in K\left[X\right]$ having -1 as a simple root. In this case we will write*

$$f = \left(X + 1\right) h$$

where $h \in K\left[X\right]$. Note that $\gcd\left(X + 1, h\right) = 1$ as $h\left(-1\right) \neq 0$.

Lemma 3.15. *Let (V, c) be a braided vector space. Assume that c is root of a polynomial $f \in K\left[X\right]$ having -1 as a simple root.*

Then, the assignment $\overline{\beta} \mapsto \overline{\beta}h\left(c\right)$ yields a bijection between the following sets.

- $\overline{B} = \left\{\overline{\beta} : E_2\left(V,c\right) \to V \mid \left(V, c, \overline{\beta}\right) \text{ is a QLie algebra}\right\}$.
- $B = \left\{\beta : V \otimes V \to V \mid \left(V, c, \beta\right) \text{ is a lifted QLie algebra}\right\}$.

Proof. Let $\overline{\beta} \in \overline{B}$. Since, by Lemma 3.13, $E_2\left(V,c\right) = \mathrm{Im}\left(h\left(c\right)\right)$, it makes sense to define $\beta : V \otimes V \to V, \beta\left(z\right) = \overline{\beta}h\left(c\right)\left(z\right)$. Let us check that $\beta \in B$. For every $z \in V^{\otimes 3}$, we have

$$c\beta_1\left(z\right) = c\overline{\beta}_1 h\left(c\right)_1\left(z\right) \overset{(3.1)}{=} \overline{\beta}_2 c_{E_2(V,c),V} h\left(c\right)_1\left(z\right) = \overline{\beta}_2 c_1 c_2 h\left(c\right)_1\left(z\right) \overset{(2.1)}{=} \beta_2 c_1 c_2\left(z\right).$$

In a similar way one proves that $c\beta_2 = \beta_1 c_2 c_1$ holds. Let $z \in \overline{E_2\left(V,c\right)}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta\left(\beta_1 - \beta_2\right)\left(z\right) &= \overline{\beta}h\left(c\right)\left(\overline{\beta}_1 h\left(c\right)_1 - \overline{\beta}_2 h\left(c\right)_2\right)\left(z\right) \\ &= h\left(-1\right)\overline{\beta}h\left(c\right)\left(\overline{\beta}_1 - \overline{\beta}_2\right)\left(z\right) \\ &\overset{(3.2)}{=} h\left(-1\right)^2 \overline{\beta}\left(\overline{\beta}_1 - \overline{\beta}_2\right)\left(z\right) \\ &\overset{(3.3)}{=} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $z \in V^{\otimes 2}$, we have

$$\beta\left(c + \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}}\right)\left(z\right) = \overline{\beta}h\left(c\right)\left(c + \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}}\right)\left(z\right) = \overline{\beta}f\left(c\right)\left(z\right) = 0.$$

Hence it makes sense to define $\varphi : \overline{B} \to B, \varphi\left(\overline{\beta}\right) = \beta$.

Conversely, let $\beta \in B$. Since, by Lemma 3.13, $\operatorname{Im}(c+1) = \ker(h(c))$, from (3.8) we get that there is a unique map $\overline{\beta} : \operatorname{Im}(h(c)) = E_2(V,c) \to V$ such that $\overline{\beta} h(c) = \beta$.

Since $\gcd(h, X+1) = 1$, there are $r, s \in K[X]$ such that $1 = hr + s(X+1)$. Let $z \in \overline{E_2(V,c)} \otimes V = \ker\left(c_1 + \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 3}}\right)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
c\overline{\beta}_1(z) &= c\overline{\beta}_1\left[h(c)_1 r(c)_1 + s(c)_1\left(c_1 + \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 3}}\right)\right](z)\\
&= c\overline{\beta}_1 h(c)_1 r(c)_1(z) = c\beta_1 r(c)_1(z) \overset{(3.6)}{=} \beta_2 c_1 c_2 r(c)_1(z)\\
&= \overline{\beta}_2 h(c)_2 c_1 c_2 r(c)_1(z) \overset{(2.1)}{=} \overline{\beta}_2 c_1 c_2 h(c)_1 r(c)_1(z)\\
&= \overline{\beta}_2 c_1 c_2\left[h(c)_1 r(c)_1 + s(c)_1\left(c_1 + \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 3}}\right)\right](z) = \overline{\beta}_2 c_1 c_2(z)\\
&= \overline{\beta}_2 c_{E_2(V,c),V}(z)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly one proves that $c\overline{\beta}_2 = \overline{\beta}_1 c_{V,E_2(V,c)}$. Let $z \in \overline{E_2(V,c)}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\overset{(3.7)}{=} \beta\left(\beta_1 - \beta_2\right)(z)\\
&= \overline{\beta} h(c)\left(\overline{\beta}_1 h(c)_1 - \overline{\beta}_2 h(c)_2\right)(z)\\
&= h(-1)\,\overline{\beta} h(c)\left(\overline{\beta}_1 - \overline{\beta}_2\right)(z)\\
&\overset{(3.2)}{=} h(-1)^2\,\overline{\beta}\left(\overline{\beta}_1 - \overline{\beta}_2\right)(z).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $h(-1) \neq 0$, we get $\overline{\beta}\left(\overline{\beta}_1 - \overline{\beta}_2\right)(z) = 0$. Hence it makes sense to define $\psi : B \to \overline{B}, \psi(\beta) = \overline{\beta}$. The uniqueness of $\overline{\beta}$ implies that φ and ψ are mutual inverses. □

Remark 3.16. With notations of Lemma 3.15, if $\overline{\beta} \in \overline{B}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
U_Q\left(V, c, \overline{\beta}\right) &= \frac{T(V,c)}{\left(\left(\mathrm{Id} - \overline{\beta}\right)\left[E_2(V,c)\right]\right)}\\
&= \frac{T(V,c)}{\left(\left(\mathrm{Id} - \overline{\beta}\right) h(c)(z) \mid z \in V^{\otimes 2}\right)}\\
&= \frac{T(V,c)}{\left(h(c)(z) - \beta(z) \mid z \in V^{\otimes 2}\right)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.17. The previous remark justifies the following notation:

$$U_Q(V, c, \beta) := \frac{T(V,c)}{\left(h(c)(z) - \beta(z) \mid z \in V^{\otimes 2}\right)}.$$

Theorem 3.18. *Let A be a primitively generated connected braided bialgebra and let (P, c) be the braided vector space of primitive elements of A. Assume that the Nichols algebra* $\mathrm{B}(P, c)$ *is a quadratic algebra. We have that*

1) *if $\overline{\beta}$ is the restriction of m_A to $E_2(P, c)$, then $\left(P, c, \overline{\beta}\right)$ is a QLie algebra and A is isomorphic to the universal enveloping algebra $U_Q(P, c, \overline{\beta})$;*
2) *if c is root of a polynomial $f \in K[X]$ of the form $f = (X+1)h$ where $h(-1) \neq 0$ and $\beta = m_A h(c)$, then (P, c, β) is a lifted QLie algebra and A is isomorphic to the universal enveloping algebra $U_Q(V, c, \beta)$.*

Proof. 1) Let (P,c,b) be the infinitesimal braided Lie algebra of A. Then, by [Ar, Theorems 9.3 and 6.5], we have that A is isomorphic to the universal enveloping algebra $U(P,c,b)$ as a braided bialgebra, where $b(z)=m_A^{t-1}(z)$ for all $z\in E_t(P,c)$. Set $\overline{\beta}:=b^2$. Then $\overline{\beta}$ is the restriction of m_A to $E_2(P,c)$. By Theorem 3.11, $\left(P,c,\overline{\beta}\right)$ is a QLie algebra and $U\left(P,c,b\right)=U_Q\left(P,c,\overline{\beta}\right)$.

2) By 1) and Lemma 3.15, we can consider the lifted QLie algebra (V,c,β) where $\beta=\overline{\beta}h(c)$. By Remark 3.16, $U_Q\left(P,c,\overline{\beta}\right)=U_Q\left(V,c,\beta\right)$. □

Lemma 3.19. *Let (V,c) be a braided vector space and let $\beta:V\otimes V\to V$ satisfying (3.6) and (3.8). Then*

$$\beta\left(\beta_1+\beta_2\right)\left(\overline{E_2\left(V,c\right)}\right)=0.$$

Therefore, if char $(K)=2$ then (3.7) holds whence (V,c,β) is a lifted QLie algebra. If char $(K)\neq 2$, then (3.7) holds if and only if $\beta\beta_1\left(\overline{E_2\left(V,c\right)}\right)=0$ if and only if $\beta\beta_2\left(\overline{E_2\left(V,c\right)}\right)=0$.

Proof. Let $z\in\overline{E_2\left(V,c\right)}$. Then

$$\beta\beta_1\left(z\right)=\beta\beta_1c_2c_1\left(z\right)\overset{(3.6)}{=}\beta c\beta_2\left(z\right)\overset{(3.8)}{=}-\beta\beta_2\left(z\right).$$

□

3.3. **Categorical subspaces.**

Definition 3.20. [Kh, 2.2] A subspace L of a braided vector space (V,c) is said to be **categorical** if

$$c\left(L\otimes V\right)\subseteq V\otimes L\qquad\text{and}\qquad c\left(V\otimes L\right)\subseteq L\otimes V. \tag{3.9}$$

Lemma 3.21. *Let (V,c) be a braided vector space and let $\beta:V\otimes V\to V$ be such that (3.6) holds. Then $L=\mathrm{Im}\left(\beta\right)$ is a categorical subspace of V. Furthermore, if (V,c,β) is a lifted QLie algebra, then (L,c_L,β_L) is a lifted QLie subalgebra of (V,c,β) where c_L and β_L are the obvious restrictions of c and β respectively.*

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} c\beta_1 &= \beta_2c_1c_2\Rightarrow c\left(L\otimes V\right)\subseteq V\otimes L,\\ c\beta_2 &= \beta_1c_2c_1\Rightarrow c\left(V\otimes L\right)\subseteq L\otimes V.\end{aligned}$$

Assume that (V,c,β) is a lifted QLie algebra. Then, by the foregoing $c\left(L\otimes L\right)\subseteq L\otimes L$ so that $c_L:L\otimes L\to L\otimes L$ is well defined. The conclusion follows. □

Proposition 3.22. *Let $\left(V,c,\overline{\beta}\right)$ be a QLie algebra with V finite dimensional. Then c has a minimal polynomial f. Moreover if $f\left(-1\right)\neq 0$ then $U_Q\left(V,c,\overline{\beta}\right)=T\left(V,c\right)$.*

Proof. The first part is clear. Assume $f\left(-1\right)\neq 0$. Then $\gcd\left(f,X+1\right)=1$ so that $c+\mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}}$ is invertible by Bézout identity. Therefore $E_2\left(V,c\right)=\ker\left(c+\mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}}\right)=0$ whence $U_Q\left(V,c,\overline{\beta}\right)=T\left(V,c\right)$. □

Next proposition will be used to normalize β if necessary.

Proposition 3.23. *Let (V,c,β) be a lifted QLie algebra and let $\lambda\in K$. Then $(V,c,\lambda\beta)$ is a lifted QLie algebra. Moreover $\lambda\mathrm{Id}_V:(V,c,\lambda\beta)\to(V,c,\beta)$ is a morphism of lifted QLie algebras which is an isomorphism whenever $\lambda\neq 0$.*

Proof. It is straightforward. □

4. Low dimensional cases

The main aim of this section is to classify lifted QLie algebras (V,c,β) such that $\dim(V)\leq 2$ and $\beta\neq 0$, under mild assumptions. We will complete the classification in case $\dim(\mathrm{Im}\beta)=1$. The case $\dim(\mathrm{Im}\beta)=2$ will be partially treated in the Appendix A.

4.1. Dimension 1.

In this subsection we treat the case $\dim(V)=1$.

Proposition 4.1. *Assume char* $(K)\neq 2$ *and let* (V,c,β) *be a one dimensional lifted QLie algebra. Then* $\beta=0$.

Proof. Let $V=Kx$. Then $\beta(x\otimes x)=\lambda x$ for some $\lambda\in K$. Assume $\lambda\neq 0$. Now $c(x\otimes x)=\gamma x\otimes x$ for some $\gamma\in K$. We have

$$-\lambda x=-\beta(x\otimes x)\overset{(3.8)}{=}\beta c(x\otimes x)=\gamma\beta(x\otimes x)=\gamma\lambda x.$$

From this we get $\lambda(\gamma+1)=0$ which implies $\gamma=-1$ whence $c=-\mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}}$. Then, from (3.6), we have $-\beta_1=\beta_2$ which implies

$$-\lambda x\otimes x=-\beta_1(x\otimes x\otimes x)=\beta_2(x\otimes x\otimes x)=\lambda x\otimes x.$$

Since *char* $(K)\neq 2$, we get $\lambda=0$, a contradiction. □

4.2. Dimension 2.

In this subsection we treat the case $\dim(V)=2$.

Corollary 4.2. *Assume char* $(K)\neq 2$ *and let* (V,c,β) *be a lifted QLie algebra. If* $L:=\mathrm{Im}\beta$ *is one dimensional, then* $\beta(L\otimes L)=0$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.21, (L,c_L,β_L) is a lifted QLie subalgebra of (V,c,β). By Proposition 4.1, we have $\beta_L=0$. □

Now we introduce some notation that will be needed afterwards.

NOTATION 4.3. *We take the following assumtions and notations.*

- *K is a field such that char* $(K)\neq 2$.
- *(V,c) is a two dimensional braided vector space. Chosen a basis x_1,x_2 for V and taken $x_1\otimes x_1,x_2\otimes x_1,x_1\otimes x_2,x_2\otimes x_2$ as a basis fo $V\otimes V$, we will write*

$$c=\begin{pmatrix} c_{11}^{11} & c_{11}^{21} & c_{11}^{12} & c_{11}^{22}\\ c_{21}^{11} & c_{21}^{21} & c_{21}^{12} & c_{21}^{22}\\ c_{12}^{11} & c_{12}^{21} & c_{12}^{12} & c_{12}^{22}\\ c_{22}^{11} & c_{22}^{21} & c_{22}^{12} & c_{22}^{22}\end{pmatrix}.$$

  i.e. $c(x_i\otimes x_j)=c_{11}^{ij}x_1\otimes x_1+c_{21}^{ij}x_2\otimes x_1+c_{12}^{ij}x_1\otimes x_2+c_{22}^{ij}x_2\otimes x_2$.
- *$\beta:V\otimes V\to V$ is a nonzero map such that (V,c,β) is a lifted QLie algebra. With the same basis as above we will write*

$$\beta=\begin{pmatrix} \beta_1^{11} & \beta_1^{21} & \beta_1^{12} & \beta_1^{22}\\ \beta_2^{11} & \beta_2^{21} & \beta_2^{12} & \beta_2^{22}\end{pmatrix}$$

  i.e. $\beta(x_i\otimes x_j)=\beta_1^{ij}x_1+\beta_2^{ij}x_2$.
- *c has minimal polynomial $f\in K[X]$ of the form $f=(X+1)h$ for some $h\in K[X]$ such that $h(-1)\neq 0$.*

Inside the proof of next result, several computations have been handled with the help of the Computer Algebra System AXIOM [AX].

Theorem 4.4. *Assume* $\dim(\mathrm{Im}\beta) = 1$. *Then, there exist a basis* x_1, x_2 *for* V *and* $\gamma \in K$ *such that* c, β, $U_Q(V, c, \beta)$ *and* f *take only one of the canonical forms (up to an isomorphism of lifted QLie algebras) in Table 1. Moreover, if* c *and* β *are as in any of the cases of Table 1, then* (V, c, β) *is a lifted QLie algebra.*

f	c	β	$U_Q(V,c,\beta)$	γ
X^2-1	$\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&1\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}0&1&-1&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{T(V,c)}{(x_2x_1-x_1x_2+x_1)}$	
X^2-1	$\begin{pmatrix}1&1&-1&0\\0&0&1&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&1\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}0&1&-1&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{T(V,c)}{\left((x_1)^2-x_2x_1+x_1x_2-x_1\right)}$	
X^2-1	$\begin{pmatrix}-1&0&0&\gamma\\0&0&1&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&1\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}0&1&-1&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{T(V,c)}{\begin{pmatrix}(x_1)^2,\\x_2x_1-x_1x_2+x_1\end{pmatrix}}$	$0,1$ *or* $\sqrt{\gamma}\notin K$
X^2-1	$\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&\gamma\\0&0&1&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&-1\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&1\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{T(V,c)}{\begin{pmatrix}x_2x_1-x_1x_2,\\\gamma(x_1)^2-2(x_2)^2-x_1\end{pmatrix}}$	$0,1$ *or* $\sqrt{\gamma}\notin K$
$(X^2-1)X$	$\begin{pmatrix}0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&1\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}0&1&-1&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{T(V,c)}{\left((x_1)^2-x_2x_1+x_1x_2+x_1\right)}$	
$(X^2-1)X$	$\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&0&\gamma&0\\0&\gamma^{-1}&0&0\\0&0&0&1\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}0&1&-\gamma&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{T(V,c)}{(-\gamma x_2x_1+x_1x_2+\gamma x_1)}$	$\gamma\neq 0,1$
$(X^2-1)(X-\gamma)$	$\begin{pmatrix}\gamma&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&1\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}0&1&-1&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{T(V,c)}{\left((1+\gamma)(x_2x_1-x_1x_2)-x_1\right)}$	$\gamma\neq\pm1$
$(X^2-1)(X-1)$	$\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&\gamma\\0&0&1&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&1\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}0&1&-1&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{T(V,c)}{\left(2(x_2x_1-x_1x_2)-x_1\right)}$	1 *or* $\sqrt{\gamma}\notin K$

TABLE 1. Lifted two dimensional QLie algebras with $\dim(\mathrm{Im}\beta) = 1$.

Remark 4.5. The first case is the unique ordinary two-dimensional Lie algebra in *char* $(K) \neq 2$.

Proof. First we will show that we can reduce to one of the cases in Table 1.

By hypothesis $\dim(\mathrm{Im}\beta) = 1$. Choose $x_1 \in V$ such that $L := \mathrm{Im}\beta = Kx_1$. Complete x_1 to a basis x_1, x_2 of V. By Lemma 3.21, L is a categorical subspace of V so that $c(L \otimes V) \subseteq V \otimes L, c(V \otimes L) \subseteq L \otimes V$ and $c(L \otimes L) \subseteq L \otimes L$. Moreover, by Corollary 4.2, we have $\beta_1^{11} = 0$. These facts imply that c and β take the following form:

$$c = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11}^{11} & c_{11}^{21} & c_{11}^{12} & c_{11}^{22} \\ 0 & 0 & c_{21}^{12} & c_{21}^{22} \\ 0 & c_{12}^{21} & 0 & c_{12}^{22} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{22}^{22} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta^{21} & \beta^{12} & \beta^{22} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.1}$$

We need the following result.

Lemma 4.6. *We have* $-\beta^{21} = \beta^{12} c_{12}^{21}$ *and* $-\beta^{12} = c_{21}^{12}\beta^{21}$. *Moreover, if* $c_{11}^{11} \neq 0$, *then* $\beta^{21} + \beta^{12} = 0$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} -\beta^{21}x_1 &\overset{(4.1)}{=} -\beta(x_2 \otimes x_1) \overset{(3.8)}{=} \beta c(x_2 \otimes x_1) \overset{(4.1)}{=} c_{12}^{21}\beta^{12}x_1, \\ -\beta^{12}x_1 &\overset{(4.1)}{=} -\beta(x_1 \otimes x_2) \overset{(3.8)}{=} \beta c(x_1 \otimes x_2) \overset{(4.1)}{=} c_{21}^{12}\beta^{21}x_1 \end{aligned}$$

so that $-\beta^{21} = \beta^{12}c_{12}^{21}$ and $-\beta^{12} = c_{21}^{12}\beta^{21}$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^{12}c_{11}^{11}c_{12}^{21}x_1 \otimes x_1 &\overset{(4.1)}{=} \beta_2 c_1 c_2 (x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_1) \\ &\overset{(3.6)}{=} c\beta_1 (x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_1) \\ &\overset{(4.1)}{=} c_{11}^{11}\beta^{12}x_1 \otimes x_1. \end{aligned}$$

If $c_{11}^{11} \neq 0$, we get $\beta^{12}c_{12}^{21} = \beta^{12}$. ☐

CASE 1) Assume $c_{11}^{11} \neq 0$.

By Lemma 4.6, c and β take the following form:

$$c = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11}^{11} & c_{11}^{21} & c_{11}^{12} & c_{11}^{22} \\ 0 & 0 & c_{21}^{12} & c_{21}^{22} \\ 0 & c_{12}^{21} & 0 & c_{12}^{22} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{22}^{22} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta^{21} & -\beta^{21} & \beta^{22} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.2}$$

CASE 1.1) Assume $\beta^{21} = 0$. Then, since $\dim(\mathrm{Im}\beta) = 1$, we have $\beta^{22} \neq 0$ so that, by Proposition 3.23, we can assume $\beta^{22} = 1$.

Using (3.8), we get $c_{22}^{22} = -1$.

Using the left-hand side of (3.6), we get $c_{21}^{12} = 1$.

Using the right-hand side of (3.6), we get $c_{11}^{11} = 1, c_{12}^{21} = 1, c_{11}^{21} = 0$.

Using the left-hand side of (3.6), we get $c_{11}^{12} = 0$.

Using (2.1), we get $c_{12}^{22} = c_{21}^{22}$. In conclusion we have

$$c = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & c_{11}^{22} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & c_{21}^{22} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & c_{21}^{22} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.3}$$

With the basis change in V given by $x_1 = tx_1', x_2 = c_{21}^{22}x_1'/2 + x_2'$ we arrive at

$$c = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & t^2g \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

for $g = \left(c_{21}^{22}\right)^2/2 + c_{11}^{22}$. Hence we are in the fourth case in Table 1. In fact, if $g \neq 0, 1$ and $\sqrt{g} \in K$ then one can choose $t = 1/\sqrt{g}$. Otherwise choose $t = 1$ and $\gamma = g$.

CASE 1.2) Assume $\beta^{21} \neq 0$. Then, by Proposition 3.23, we can assume $\beta^{21} = 1$.

Using (3.8), we get $c_{12}^{21} = 1 = c_{21}^{12}$.

Using the left-hand side of (3.6), we get $c_{12}^{22} = 0, c_{22}^{22} = 1$.

Using the right-hand side of (3.6), we get $c_{21}^{22} = 0$.

Using (3.8), we get $\beta^{22} = 0$. In conclusion we have

$$c = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11}^{11} & c_{11}^{21} & c_{11}^{12} & c_{11}^{22} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.4}$$

We point out that c and β as in (4.4) always fulfill (3.8) and (3.6).

CASE 1.2.1) Assume $c_{11}^{21} \neq c_{11}^{12}$.

Using (2.1), we get $c_{11}^{22} = 0, c_{11}^{11} = 1, c_{11}^{12} = -c_{11}^{21}$ (this implies $c_{11}^{21} \neq 0$ by assumption).

With the basis change in V given by $x_1 = x_1'/c_{11}^{21}, x_2 = x_2'$ we arrive at the second case in Table 1.

CASE 1.2.2) Assume $c_{11}^{21} = c_{11}^{12}$.

CASE 1.2.2.1) Assume $c_{11}^{11} = 1$.

Using (2.1), we get $c_{11}^{21} = 0$.

CASE 1.2.2.1.1) If $c_{11}^{22} = 0$, we are in the first case in Table 1.

CASE 1.2.2.1.2) If $c_{11}^{22} \neq 0$, we are in the eighth case in Table 1. In fact, if $c_{11}^{22} \neq 1$ and $\sqrt{c_{11}^{22}} \in K$ then, with the basis change in V given by $x_1 = x_1'/\sqrt{c_{11}^{22}}, x_2 = x_2'$, we can reduce to the case $c_{11}^{22} = 1$.

CASE 1.2.2.2) Assume $c_{11}^{11} = -1$.

With the basis change in V given by $x_1 = x_1', x_2 = -x_1'c_{11}^{21}/2 + x_2'$, we can reduce to the case $c_{11}^{21} = c_{11}^{12} = 0$. Now we are in the third case in Table 1. In fact, if $c_{11}^{22} \neq 0, 1$ and $\sqrt{c_{11}^{22}} \in K$ then, with the basis change in V given by $x_1 = x_1'/\sqrt{c_{11}^{22}}, x_2 = x_2'$, we can reduce to the case $c_{11}^{22} = 1$.

CASE 1.2.2.3) Assume $c_{11}^{11} \neq \pm 1$. Using (2.1), we get $c_{11}^{22} = \left(c_{11}^{21}\right)^2/\left(c_{11}^{11} - 1\right)$. With the basis change in V given by $x_1 = \left(c_{11}^{11} - 1\right)x_1', x_2 = x_1'c_{11}^{21} + x_2'$ we reduce to the seventh case in Table 1.

CASE 2) Assume $c_{11}^{11} = 0$. By Lemma 4.6, we have

$$\beta^{12} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \beta^{21} = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

CASE 2.1) $c_{22}^{22} \neq 1$. Let us prove that this case does not occur.

CASE 2.1.1) $\beta^{21} = 0$. By (4.5) we get $\beta^{12} = 0$. In this case, since $\dim(\mathrm{Im}\beta) = 1$, by Proposition 3.23, we can assume $\beta^{22} = 1$.

Using (3.8), we get $c_{22}^{22} = -1$.

Using the left-hand side of (3.6), we get $c_{12}^{21} = 0$ and $c_{21}^{12} = 1$.

Using the right-hand side of (3.6), we get a contradiction.

CASE 2.1.2) $\beta^{21} \neq 0$. By Proposition 3.23, we can assume $\beta^{21} = 1$.

Using the left-hand side of (3.6), we get $c_{21}^{12} = 0$.

Using (3.8), we get a contradiction.

CASE 2.2) $c_{22}^{22} = 1$.

CASE 2.2.1) $\beta^{22} \neq 0$. By Proposition 3.23, we can assume $\beta^{22} = 1$.

Using (3.8) and (4.5) we obtain $\beta^{21} \neq 0$ and $\beta^{12} \neq 0$.

CASE 2.2.1.1) $\beta^{12} = -\beta^{21}$.

Using (3.8), we get $c_{12}^{21} = 1 = c_{21}^{12}$.

Using the left-hand side of (3.6), we get a contradiction.

CASE 2.2.1.2) $\beta^{12} + \beta^{21} \neq 0$.

With the basis change in V given by $x_1 = \beta^{12}\beta^{21}\left(\beta^{12} + \beta^{21}\right) x_1', x_2 = \beta^{12}\beta^{21}x_1' + x_2'$ we reduce to the following CASE 2.2.2).

CASE 2.2.2) $\beta^{22} = 0$. By Proposition 3.23, we can assume $\beta^{21} = 1$.

CASE 2.2.2.1) $\beta^{12} = -1$.

Using (3.8), we get $c_{12}^{21} = 1 = c_{21}^{12}$ and $c_{21}^{22} = c_{12}^{22}$.

Using the left-hand side of (3.6), we get $c_{12}^{22} = 0$.

Using (2.1), we get $c_{11}^{22} = -c_{11}^{12}c_{11}^{21}$.

CASE 2.2.2.1.1) $c_{11}^{12} = c_{11}^{21}$. With the basis change in V given by $x_1 = x_1', x_2 = -x_1'c_{11}^{21} + x_2'$ we reduce to the seventh case in Table 1 for $\gamma = 0$.

CASE 2.2.2.1.2) $c_{11}^{12} \neq c_{11}^{21}$. With the basis change in V given by

$$x_1 = x_1' / \left(c_{11}^{21} - c_{11}^{12}\right)$$
$$x_2 = -x_1'c_{11}^{12} / \left(c_{11}^{21} - c_{11}^{12}\right) + x_2'$$

we are in the fifth case in Table 1.

CASE 2.2.2.2) $\beta^{12} \neq -1$.

Using (3.8), we get $c_{12}^{21} \neq 0$.

Using the left-hand side of (3.6), we get $c_{11}^{21} = 0 = c_{21}^{22}$.

Using (3.8) and (4.5), we get $c_{12}^{22} = 0$ and hence $c_{21}^{12} = -\beta^{12} \neq 0$.

Using the right-hand side of (3.6), we get $c_{11}^{12} = 0$.

Using (2.1) and $c_{12}^{21} \neq 0$, we get $c_{11}^{22} = 0$.

Using (3.8), we land in the sixth case in Table 1.

We have so proved that there exists a basis x_1, x_2 for V and $\gamma \in K$ such that c, β and f take one of the canonical forms (up to an isomorphism of lifted QLie algebras) in Table 1. One easily checks that the minimal polynomials are those listed.

Let us check that the braided vector spaces in Table 1 are not mutual isomorphic. Clearly if the minimal polynomials are different, the corresponding braided vector spaces can not be isomorphic.

Consider a generic basis change $\alpha : V \to V$ given by $x_1 = a_{1,1}x_1' + a_{2,1}x_2', x_2 = a_{1,2}x_1' + a_{2,2}x_2'$ hence

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denote by c' and β' the matrices corresponding to c and β in the new basis. Note that $c' = (\alpha \otimes \alpha) \circ c \circ \left(\alpha^{-1} \otimes \alpha^{-1}\right)$ and $\beta' = \alpha \circ \beta \circ \left(\alpha^{-1} \otimes \alpha^{-1}\right)$.

Case A) β is as in the fourth case in Table 1.

Then β' has zero lower row if and only if $a_{2,1} = 0$. This condition implies

$$\beta' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{1,1} / \left(a_{2,2}\right)^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence the fourth case in Table 1 is not isomorphic to any other.

Case B) β is as in the sixth case in Table 1.

Since $\gamma \neq 1$ and α is invertible, then β' has zero lower row if and only if $a_{2,1} = 0$. This condition implies

$$\beta' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/a_{2,2} & -\gamma/a_{2,2} & a_{1,2}\left(\gamma - 1\right)/\left(a_{2,2}\right)^{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, since $\gamma \neq 1$, the sixth case in Table 1 is not isomorphic to any other.

Case C) β is of the form

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then β' takes the same form if and only if $a_{2,1} = 0$ and $a_{2,2} = 1$. Let c be one of the braiding corresponding to β as in Table 1. Then c and c' take the form

$$c = \begin{pmatrix} x & y & w & z \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad c' = \begin{pmatrix} x & y' & w' & z' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} y' &= a_{1,1}y + a_{1,2}\left(1 - x\right), \\ w' &= a_{1,1}w + a_{1,2}\left(1 - x\right), \\ z' &= \left(a_{1,1}\right)^{2} z - a_{1,1}a_{1,2}y - a_{1,1}a_{1,2}w - \left(a_{1,2}\right)^{2}\left(1 - x\right). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly each of the first, the third, the fifth and the seventh case in Table 1 is only autoisomorphic.

Finally, the invariance of the minimal polynomial shows that the second and the eighth cases in Table 1 are only autoisomorphic too.

Let us prove that, if c and β are as in any of the cases of Table 1, then (V, c, β) is a lifted QLie algebra. It is straightforward to check that all of them fulfills (2.1), (3.8) and (3.6). It remains to prove that they verify also (3.7) i.e. that $\beta\left(\beta_1 - \beta_2\right)\left(\overline{E_2\left(V, c\right)}\right) = 0$.

If c and β are as in the first case of Table 1, then

$$E_2\left(V, c\right) = \mathrm{Im}\left(c - \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}}\right) = K\left(x_2 \otimes x_1 - x_1 \otimes x_2\right)$$

so that $\overline{E_2\left(V, c\right)} = 0$ whence (3.7) is satisfied. We have

$$U_Q\left(V, c, \beta\right) := \frac{T\left(V, c\right)}{\left(\left(c - \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}}\right)\left(z\right) - \beta\left(z\right) \mid z \in V^{\otimes 2}\right)} = \frac{T\left(V, c\right)}{\left(x_2x_1 - x_1x_2 + x_1\right)}.$$

If c and β are as in the second case of Table 1, then

$$E_2\left(V, c\right) = \mathrm{Im}\left(c - \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}}\right) = K\left(x_1 \otimes x_1 - x_2 \otimes x_1 + x_1 \otimes x_2\right)$$

so that $\overline{E_2\left(V, c\right)} = 0$ whence (3.7) is satisfied. We have

$$U_Q\left(V, c, \beta\right) := \frac{T\left(V, c\right)}{\left(\left(c - \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}}\right)\left(z\right) - \beta\left(z\right) \mid z \in V^{\otimes 2}\right)} = \frac{T\left(V, c\right)}{\left(\left(x_1\right)^{2} + x_1x_2 - x_2x_1 - x_1\right)}.$$

If c and β are as in the third case of Table 1, then

$$E_2\left(V, c\right) = \mathrm{Im}\left(c - \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}}\right) = Kx_1 \otimes x_1 \oplus K\left(x_2 \otimes x_1 - x_1 \otimes x_2\right)$$

so that $\overline{E_2(V,c)} = Kx_1 \otimes x_1 \otimes x_1$. We have that $\beta_1\left(\overline{E_2(V,c)}\right) = 0 = \beta_2\left(\overline{E_2(V,c)}\right)$, so that (3.7) is satisfied. We have

$$U_Q(V,c,\beta) := \frac{T(V,c)}{((c - \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}})(z) - \beta(z) \mid z \in V^{\otimes 2})} = \frac{T(V,c)}{\left(\begin{array}{c}(x_1)^2, \\ x_2x_1 - x_1x_2 + x_1\end{array}\right)}.$$

If c and β are as in the fourth case of Table 1, then

$$E_2(V,c) = \mathrm{Im}(c - \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}}) = K(\gamma x_1 \otimes x_1 - 2x_2 \otimes x_2) \oplus K(x_2 \otimes x_1 - x_1 \otimes x_2).$$

We have $\beta(\beta_1 - \beta_2) = 0$ so that (3.7) is satisfied. We have

$$U_Q(V,c,\beta) := \frac{T(V,c)}{((c - \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}})(z) - \beta(z) \mid z \in V^{\otimes 2})} = \frac{T(V,c)}{\left(\begin{array}{c}x_2x_1 - x_1x_2, \\ \gamma(x_1)^2 - 2(x_2)^2 - x_1\end{array}\right)}.$$

If c and β are as in the fifth case of Table 1, then

$$E_2(V,c) = \mathrm{Im}\left(c^2 - c\right) = K(x_1 \otimes x_1 - x_2 \otimes x_1 + x_1 \otimes x_2)$$

so that $\overline{E_2(V,c)} = 0$ whence (3.7) is satisfied. We have

$$U_Q(V,c,\beta) := \frac{T(V,c)}{((c^2 - c)(z) - \beta(z) \mid z \in V^{\otimes 2})} = \frac{T(V,c)}{\left((x_1)^2 - x_2x_1 + x_1x_2 + x_1\right)}.$$

If c and β are as in the sixth case of Table 1, then

$$E_2(V,c) = \mathrm{Im}\left(c^2 - c\right) = K(\gamma x_2 \otimes x_1 - x_1 \otimes x_2)$$

so that $\overline{E_2(V,c)} = 0$ whence (3.7) is satisfied. We have

$$U_Q(V,c,\beta) := \frac{T(V,c)}{((c^2 - c)(z) - \beta(z) \mid z \in V^{\otimes 2})} = \frac{T(V,c)}{(-\gamma x_2x_1 + x_1x_2 + \gamma x_1)}.$$

If c and β are as in the seventh case of Table 1, then

$$E_2(V,c) = \mathrm{Im}((c - \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}})(c - \gamma \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}})) = K(x_2 \otimes x_1 - x_1 \otimes x_2)$$

so that $\overline{E_2(V,c)} = 0$ whence (3.7) is satisfied. We have

$$\begin{aligned} U_Q(V,c,\beta) &:= \frac{T(V,c)}{((c - \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}})(c - \gamma \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}})(z) - \beta(z) \mid z \in V^{\otimes 2})} \\ &= \frac{T(V,c)}{((1+\gamma)(x_2x_1 - x_1x_2) - x_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

If c and β are as in the eighth case of Table 1, then

$$E_2(V,c) = \mathrm{Im}\left((c - \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}})^2\right).$$

Now $E_2(V,c) = K(x_2 \otimes x_1 - x_1 \otimes x_2)$ so that $\overline{E_2(V,c)} = 0$ whence (3.7) is satisfied. We have

$$\begin{aligned} U_Q(V,c,\beta) &:= \frac{T(V,c)}{\left((c - \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}})^2(z) - \beta(z) \mid z \in V^{\otimes 2}\right)} \\ &= \frac{T(V,c)}{\left(\ 2(x_2x_1 - x_1x_2) - x_1\ \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Next result is useful in conjunction with Theorem 3.9.

Theorem 4.7. *Let (V,c) be any braided vector space as in Table 1. Then:*

- *in all cases but 7 one has $S_Q(V,c) = B(V,c)$ if and only if $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$;*
- *in case 7 one has $S_Q(V,c) = B(V,c)$ if and only if $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$ and γ is not a root of unity.*

Proof. Let $S_0 := S_Q(V,c_0)$ where c_0 is the canonical flip map and set $\Delta_0 := \Delta_{S_0}$. Set $S := S_Q(V,c)$. First note that $S = B(V,c)$ if and only if $P(S) = Kx_1 + Kx_2$.

Suppose $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$. Then, by [AMS1, Theorem 2.17], for (V,c) as in the cases 1,2,3 and 4 of Table 1, we get $S = B(V,c)$.

Let us concern the cases 5, 6 and 7 of Table 1. Then c has matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_1 & q & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & q_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $q_{21} = q_{12}^{-1}$ and $q_2 = 1$. Then

$$\Delta(x_i^n) = \sum_{0\le t\le n} \binom{n}{t}_{q_i} \left(x_i^t \otimes x_i^{n-t}\right)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Delta\left(x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2}\right) \\
= \; & \Delta\left(x_1^{n_1}\right)\Delta\left(x_2^{n_2}\right) \\
= \; & \sum_{\substack{0\le t_1\le n_1 \\ 0\le t_2\le n_2}} \binom{n_1}{t_1}_{q_1}\binom{n_2}{t_2}_{q_2} \left(x_1^{t_1}\otimes x_1^{n_1-t_1}\right)\left(x_2^{t_2}\otimes x_2^{n_2-t_2}\right) \\
= \; & \sum_{\substack{0\le t_1\le n_1 \\ 0\le t_2\le n_2}} \binom{n_1}{t_1}_{q_1}\binom{n_2}{t_2}_{q_2} x_1^{t_1} c\left(x_1^{n_1-t_1}\otimes x_2^{t_2}\right) x_2^{n_2-t_2} \\
= \; & \sum_{\substack{0\le t_1\le n_1 \\ 0\le t_2\le n_2}} \binom{n_1}{t_1}_{q_1}\binom{n_2}{t_2}_{q_2} q_{12}^{(n_1-t_1)t_2} x_1^{t_1}\left(x_2^{t_2}\otimes x_1^{n_1-t_1}\right) x_2^{n_2-t_2} \\
= \; & \sum_{\substack{0\le t_1\le n_1 \\ 0\le t_2\le n_2}} \binom{n_1}{t_1}_{q_1}\binom{n_2}{t_2}_{q_2} q_{12}^{(n_1-t_1)t_2} x_1^{t_1}x_2^{t_2}\otimes x_1^{n_1-t_1}x_2^{n_2-t_2}
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\Delta\left(x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2}\right) = \sum_{\substack{0\le t_1\le n_1 \\ 0\le t_2\le n_2}} \binom{n_1}{t_1}_{q_1}\binom{n_2}{t_2}_{q_2} q_{12}^{(n_1-t_1)t_2} x_1^{t_1}x_2^{t_2}\otimes x_1^{n_1-t_1}x_2^{n_2-t_2}.$$

Let $n>1$ and $z\in P(S)\cap S_n$. Then

$$z=\sum_{\substack{0\leq n_1,n_2\\ n_1+n_2=n}}\lambda_{n_1,n_2}x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2}.$$

Then $z\otimes 1+1\otimes z=\Delta(z)$ rewrites as

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{0\leq n_1,n_2\\ n_1+n_2=n}}\lambda_{n_1,n_2}x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2}\otimes 1+1\otimes\sum_{\substack{0\leq n_1,n_2\\ n_1+n_2=n}}\lambda_{n_1,n_2}x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2}\\ =&\sum_{\substack{0\leq n_1,n_2\\ n_1+n_2=n\\ 0\leq t_1\leq n_1\\ 0\leq t_2\leq n_2}}\lambda_{n_1,n_2}\binom{n_1}{t_1}_{q_1}\binom{n_2}{t_2}_{q_2}q_{12}^{(n_1-t_1)t_2}x_1^{t_1}x_2^{t_2}\otimes x_1^{n_1-t_1}x_2^{n_2-t_2}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $n>1$ we can always find a couple $(t_1,t_2)\neq(0,0),(n_1,n_2)$ so that we get

$$\lambda_{n_1,n_2}\binom{n_1}{t_1}_{q_1}\binom{n_2}{t_2}_{q_2}q_{12}^{(n_1-t_1)t_2}=0.$$

Since $\mathrm{char}(K)=0$ and in case 7 one has that γ is not root of unity, we get $\lambda_{n_1,n_2}=0$. Hence $z=0$. We have so proved that $P(S)\cap S_n=\{0\}$ for all $n>1$. Hence $P(S)=Kx_1+Kx_2$ so that $S=B(V,c)$.

Let us consider the eighth case of Table 1. Let us prove inductively that

$$c(x_2\otimes x_2^n)=x_2^n\otimes x_2+n\gamma x_1x_2^{n-1}\otimes x_1. \tag{4.6}$$

For $n=0$ there is nothing to prove. For $n>0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}c\left(x_2\otimes x_2^{n+1}\right)&=c(x_2\otimes x_2x_2^n)\\ &=(m\otimes S)(S\otimes c)(c\otimes S)(x_2\otimes x_2\otimes x_2^n)\\ &=x_2c(x_2\otimes x_2^n)+\gamma x_1c(x_1\otimes x_2^n)\\ &=x_2^{n+1}\otimes x_2+n\gamma x_2x_1x_2^{n-1}\otimes x_1+\gamma x_1x_2^n\otimes x_1\\ &=x_2^{n+1}\otimes x_2+(n+1)\gamma x_1x_2^n\otimes x_1.\end{aligned}$$

For $n\geq 0$, let us prove there exist $\alpha_t(n)\in K$ such that

$$\Delta(x_2^n)=\sum_{0\leq t}\alpha_t(n)\gamma^t\left(x_1^t\otimes x_1^t\right)\Delta_0\left(x_2^{n-2t}\right)$$

where we assume $x_2^t:=0$ for $t<0$.

For $n=0$ we get $\alpha_t(0)=\delta_{t,0}$. For $n=1$, one easily get $\alpha_t(1)=\delta_{t,0}$. Let $n>1$ and assume the statement is true for n. First we compute

$$\begin{aligned}(1\otimes x_2)\Delta_0(x_2^m)&=\sum_{0\leq i\leq m}\binom{m}{i}(1\otimes x_2)\left(x_2^i\otimes x_2^{m-i}\right)\\ &=\sum_{0\leq i\leq m}\binom{m}{i}c\left(x_2\otimes x_2^i\right)x_2^{m-i}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overset{(4.6)}{=} && \sum_{0\leq i\leq m}\binom{m}{i}\left(x_2^i\otimes x_2^{m+1-i}+i\gamma x_1x_2^{i-1}\otimes x_1x_2^{m-i}\right)\\
&= && \sum_{0\leq i\leq m}\binom{m}{i}x_2^i\otimes x_2^{m+1-i}+\\
& && +\gamma\sum_{0\leq i\leq m}\binom{m}{i}ix_1x_2^{i-1}\otimes x_1x_2^{m-i}\\
&= && (1\otimes x_2)\cdot_{S_0\otimes S_0}\Delta_0\left(x_2^m\right)+\\
& && +\gamma\left(x_1\otimes x_1\right)\sum_{1\leq i\leq m}\binom{m}{i}ix_2^{i-1}\otimes x_2^{m-i}\\
&= && (1\otimes x_2)\cdot_{S_0\otimes S_0}\Delta_0\left(x_2^m\right)+m\gamma\left(x_1\otimes x_1\right)\Delta_0\left(x_2^{m-1}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(1\otimes x_2)\,\Delta_0\left(x_2^m\right)=(1\otimes x_2)\cdot_{S_0\otimes S_0}\Delta_0\left(x_2^m\right)+m\gamma\left(x_1\otimes x_1\right)\Delta_0\left(x_2^{m-1}\right). \tag{4.7}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& && \Delta x_2^{n+1}\\
&= && \left(\Delta x_2\right)\left(\Delta x_2^n\right)\\
&= && \left(x_2\otimes 1+1\otimes x_2\right)\left(\sum_{0\leq t}\alpha_t(n)\gamma^t\left(x_1^t\otimes x_1^t\right)\Delta_0\left(x_2^{n-2t}\right)\right)\\
&= && \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{0\leq t}\alpha_t(n)\gamma^t\left(x_2\otimes 1\right)\left(x_1^t\otimes x_1^t\right)\Delta_0\left(x_2^{n-2t}\right)\\ +\sum_{0\leq t}\alpha_t(n)\gamma^t\left(1\otimes x_2\right)\left(x_1^t\otimes x_1^t\right)\Delta_0\left(x_2^{n-2t}\right)\end{pmatrix}\\
&= && \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{0\leq t}\alpha_t(n)\gamma^t\left(x_1^t\otimes x_1^t\right)\left[\left(x_2\otimes 1\right)\Delta_0\left(x_2^{n-2t}\right)\right]\\ +\sum_{0\leq t}\alpha_t(n)\gamma^t\left(x_1^t\otimes x_1^t\right)\left[\left(1\otimes x_2\right)\Delta_0\left(x_2^{n-2t}\right)\right]\end{pmatrix}\\
&\overset{(4.7)}{=} && \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{0\leq t}\alpha_t(n)\gamma^t\left(x_1^t\otimes x_1^t\right)\left[\left(x_2\otimes 1\right)\cdot_{S_0\otimes S_0}\Delta_0\left(x_2^{n-2t}\right)\right]\\ +\sum_{0\leq t}\alpha_t(n)\gamma^t\left(x_1^t\otimes x_1^t\right)\left[\left(1\otimes x_2\right)\cdot_{S_0\otimes S_0}\Delta_0\left(x_2^{n-2t}\right)\right]\\ +\sum_{0\leq t}\alpha_t(n)\gamma^t\left(x_1^t\otimes x_1^t\right)\left[\left(n-2t\right)\gamma\left(x_1\otimes x_1\right)\Delta_0\left(x_2^{n-2t-1}\right)\right]\end{pmatrix}\\
&= && \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{0\leq t}\alpha_t(n)\gamma^t\left(x_1^t\otimes x_1^t\right)\left[\left(x_2\otimes 1+1\otimes x_2\right)\cdot_{S_0\otimes S_0}\Delta_0\left(x_2^{n-2t}\right)\right]\\ +\sum_{0\leq t}\alpha_t(n)\left(n-2t\right)\gamma^{t+1}\left(x_1^{t+1}\otimes x_1^{t+1}\right)\Delta_0\left(x_2^{n-2t-1}\right)\end{pmatrix}\\
&= && \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{0\leq t}\alpha_t(n)\gamma^t\left(x_1^t\otimes x_1^t\right)\Delta_0\left(x_2^{n+1-2t}\right)\\ +\sum_{0\leq t}\alpha_t(n)\left(n-2t\right)\gamma^{t+1}\left(x_1^{t+1}\otimes x_1^{t+1}\right)\Delta_0\left(x_2^{n-2t-1}\right)\end{pmatrix}\\
&= && \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{0\leq t}\alpha_t(n)\gamma^t\left(x_1^t\otimes x_1^t\right)\Delta_0\left(x_2^{n+1-2t}\right)\\ +\sum_{1\leq s}\alpha_{s-1}(n)\left(n+2-2s\right)\gamma^{s}\left(x_1^{s}\otimes x_1^{s}\right)\Delta_0\left(x_2^{n+1-2s}\right)\end{pmatrix}\\
&= && \sum_{0\leq t}\alpha_t(n+1)\gamma^t\left(x_1^t\otimes x_1^t\right)\Delta_0\left(x_2^{n+1-2t}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha_t(n+1)=\begin{cases}\alpha_t(n) & \text{if } t=0,\\ \alpha_t(n)+\alpha_{t-1}(n)\left(n+2-2t\right) & \text{if } t>0.\end{cases}$$

Since $\alpha_0(0)=1$ we get $\alpha_0(n)=1$ for all $n\geq 0$ and, since for all $t>0$, $\alpha_t(1)=0$, one easily get $\alpha_t(n)=0$ whenever $n<2t$.

Now we have

$$\Delta\left(x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2}\right) \quad = \quad \Delta\left(x_1^{n_1}\right)\Delta\left(x_2^{n_2}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \Delta\left(x_1^{n_1}\right)\left[\sum_{0\le t}\alpha_t(n_2)\gamma^t\left(x_1^t\otimes x_1^t\right)\Delta_0\left(x_2^{n_2-2t}\right)\right]\\
&= \sum_{0\le t}\alpha_t(n_2)\gamma^t\left(x_1^t\otimes x_1^t\right)\Delta\left(x_1^{n_1}\right)\Delta_0\left(x_2^{n_2-2t}\right)\\
&= \sum_{0\le t}\alpha_t(n_2)\gamma^t\left(x_1^t\otimes x_1^t\right)\left[\Delta_0\left(x_1^{n_1}\right)\cdot_{S_0\otimes S_0}\Delta_0\left(x_2^{n_2-2t}\right)\right]\\
&= \sum_{0\le t}\alpha_t(n_2)\gamma^t\left(x_1^t\otimes x_1^t\right)\Delta_0\left(x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2-2t}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $n>1$ and $z\in P(S)\cap S_n$. Then

$$z=\sum_{\substack{0\le n_1,n_2\\ n_1+n_2=n}}\lambda_{n_1,n_2}x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2}.$$

Then $z\otimes 1+1\otimes z=\Delta(z)$ rewrites as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{0\le n_1,n_2\\ n_1+n_2=n}}\lambda_{n_1,n_2}x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2}\otimes 1+1\otimes\sum_{\substack{0\le n_1,n_2\\ n_1+n_2=n}}\lambda_{n_1,n_2}x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2}\\
=&\sum_{\substack{0\le n_1,n_2\\ n_1+n_2=n\\ 0\le t}}\lambda_{n_1,n_2}\alpha_t(n_2)\gamma^t\left(x_1^t\otimes x_1^t\right)\Delta_0\left(x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2-2t}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.8)\quad &\left(x_1^t\otimes x_1^t\right)\Delta_0\left(x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2-2t}\right)=\\
&\sum_{\substack{0\le i_1\le n_1\\ 0\le i_2\le n_2}}\binom{n_1}{i_1}\binom{n_2}{i_2}\left(x_1^{t+i_1}x_2^{i_2}\otimes x_1^{t+n_1-i_1}x_2^{n_2-i_2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $n>1$ we can always find a couple $(i_1,i_2)\neq(0,0),(n_1,n_2)$ so that we get

$$\lambda_{n_1,n_2}\alpha_t(n_2)\gamma^t\binom{n_1}{i_1}\binom{n_2}{i_2}=0.$$

For $t=0$, since $\mathrm{char}(K)=0$, we get $\lambda_{n_1,n_2}=0$. Hence $z=0$. We have so proved that $P(S)\cap S_n=\{0\}$ for all $n>1$. Hence

$$P(S)=Kx_1+Kx_2$$

so that $S=B(V,c)$.

Conversely, suppose $S=B(V,c)$. Then $P(S)=Kx_1+Kx_2$. By contradiction, suppose $\mathrm{char}(K)=p$ for some prime $p>2$. In the cases 1, 2, 4 and 8 of Table 1, we get that $x_1^p\in P(S)$, a contradiction. In the remaining cases we get that $x_2^p\in P(S)$, a contradiction. Note that in case 3 the proof uses that

$$\Delta\left(x_2^n\right)=\Delta_0\left(x_2^n\right)+\binom{n}{2}\gamma\left(x_1\otimes x_1\right)\Delta_0\left(x_2^{n-2}\right)$$

that can be proved in a similar way as in the other implication.

In case 7, if $\mathrm{char}(K)=0$ and γ is a primitive t-root of unity for some $t>0$, then $t>2$ (as $\gamma\neq\pm1$) and we get $x_1^t\in P(S)$, a contradiction . □

Corollary 4.8. *Let (V,c) be any braided vector space as in Table 1. Then the equivalent conditions of Theorem 3.9 are fulfilled whenever $S_Q(V,c)=\mathrm{B}(V,c)$.*

Proof. It is enough to observe that condition (ii) of Theorem 3.9 holds for all (V,c) as in Table 1. □

Theorem 4.9. *Let A be a primitively generated connected braided bialgebra and let (P,c) be the braided vector space of primitive elements of A. Assume the Nichols algebra $\mathrm{B}(P,c)$ is a quadratic algebra and c has minimal polynomial $f\in K[X]$ of the form $f=(X+1)h$ for some $h\in K[X]$ such that $h(-1)\neq0$. Set $\beta:=m_Ah(c)$. Then (P,c,β) is a lifted QLie algebra and A is isomorphic to the universal enveloping algebra $U_Q(V,c,\beta)$. Moreover assume*

1) $\mathrm{char}(K)\neq2$;
2) *P is two dimensional;*
3) $\dim(\mathrm{Im}\beta)=1$.

Then, there exists a basis x_1,x_2 for P and $\gamma\in K$ such that c, β, $U_Q(P,c,\beta)$ and f take only one of the canonical forms (up to an isomorphism of lifted QLie algebras) in Table 1.

Proof. The first part follows by Theorem 3.18 and the second part by Theorem 4.4. □

Appendix A. Further results

Although we don't have a complete classification in case $\dim(\mathrm{Im}\beta)=2$ yet, in this section we include a partial result that can help in this direction.

Theorem A.1. *If* $\dim(\mathrm{Im}\beta)=2$, *then* $\dim\mathrm{Im}(c+\mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes2}})=2$.

Proof. Assume $\dim(\mathrm{Im}\beta)=2$. Then β is surjective so that $\dim\mathrm{Im}(h(c))\geq2$.

Since $h(-1)\neq0$, by Lemma 3.13, $\mathrm{Im}(c+\mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes2}})\oplus\mathrm{Im}(h(c))=V^{\otimes2}$ in view of the standing hypotheses in Notation 4.3. Since V is two-dimensional, we have three cases namely $\dim\mathrm{Im}(c+\mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes2}})=0,1,2$. The first case does not occur. In fact, $\dim\mathrm{Im}(c+\mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes2}})=0$ implies $c=-\mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes2}}$. By (3.6), we get $\beta_1=-\beta_2$ which entails $\beta=0$, a contradiction.

Let $\delta:\mathrm{Im}(c+\mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes2}})\to\mathrm{Im}(c+\mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes2}})$ be the restriction of $c+\mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes2}}$. Let $p_\delta\in K[X]$ be the minimal polynomial of δ. Then $f\mid p_\delta\cdot(X+1)$.

$\dim\mathrm{Im}(c+\mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes2}})=1$) In this case $\deg(p_\delta)=1$ so that $p_\delta=X-q$ for some $q\in K$ and $f=(X-q)(X+1)$.

We need the following lemma.

Lemma A.2. $\ker(\beta)=\mathrm{Im}(c+\mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes2}})\oplus[\ker(\beta)\cap\mathrm{Im}(h(c))]$.

Proof. Since $\dim\mathrm{Im}(c+\mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes2}})=1$ we get $\mathrm{Im}(c+\mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes2}})=Kv_1$ for some $v_1\in V^{\otimes2}\setminus\{0\}$. By (3.8), $v_1\in\ker(\beta)$. Since β is surjective, one has

$$V=\mathrm{Im}(\beta)\simeq V^{\otimes2}/\ker(\beta)$$

so that $\dim\ker(\beta)=2$. Hence we can complete v_1 to a basis v_1,v_2' of $\ker(\beta)$. Now $v_2'\in V^{\otimes2}=\mathrm{Im}(c+\mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes2}})\oplus\mathrm{Im}(h(c))$ so that $v_2'=av_1+v_2$ for some $v_2\in\mathrm{Im}(h(c))$.

Moreover $v_2 = -av_1 + v_2' \in \ker(\beta)$ whence $v_2 \in \ker(\beta) \cap \operatorname{Im}(h(c))$. Clearly v_1, v_2 is a basis for $\ker(\beta)$. ☐

In view of Lemma A.2, we have that $\ker(\beta)$ has a basis v_1, v_2 where $v_1 \in \operatorname{Im}(c + \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}}) = \ker(h(c)) = \ker(c - q\mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}})$ and $v_2 \in [\ker(\beta) \cap \operatorname{Im}(h(c))]$. Complete v_2 to a basis v_2, v_3, v_4 of $\operatorname{Im}(h(c)) = \ker(c + \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}})$. Clearly v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 is a basis of $V^{\otimes 2}$. We set $x_1 := \beta(v_3)$ and $x_2 := \beta(v_4)$. Obviously x_1, x_2 is a basis of V.

Suppose $x_1 \otimes x_1 \notin \operatorname{Im}(h(c))$. Then $x_1 \otimes x_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$ is a basis of $V^{\otimes 2}$. Thus for each $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ there are $\xi_t^{i,j} \in K$ such that

$$x_i \otimes x_j = \xi_1^{i,j} x_1 \otimes x_1 + \xi_2^{i,j} v_2 + \xi_3^{i,j} v_3 + \xi_4^{i,j} v_4.$$

Clearly $\xi_t^{1,1} = \delta_{1,t}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} (c + \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}})(x_i \otimes x_j) &= \xi_1^{i,j}(c + \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}})(x_1 \otimes x_1), \\ \beta(x_i \otimes x_j) &= \xi_1^{i,j} \beta(x_1 \otimes x_1) + \xi_3^{i,j} x_1 + \xi_4^{i,j} x_2. \end{aligned}$$

By setting $(c + \mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}})(x_1 \otimes x_1) = \sum_{m,n} v^{m,n} x_m \otimes x_n$ and $\beta(x_1 \otimes x_1) = \sum_m s^m x_m$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} c(x_i \otimes x_j) &= -x_i \otimes x_j + \sum_{m,n} \xi_1^{i,j} v^{m,n} x_m \otimes x_n, \\ \beta(x_i \otimes x_j) &= \sum_m \xi_1^{i,j} s^m x_m + \xi_3^{i,j} x_1 + \xi_4^{i,j} x_2. \end{aligned}$$

In matrix form we have

$$\text{(A.1)} \quad c = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} +$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} v^{1,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v^{2,1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v^{1,2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & v^{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_1^{2,1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \xi_1^{1,2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \xi_1^{2,2} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi_3^{2,1} & \xi_3^{1,2} & \xi_3^{2,2} \\ 0 & \xi_4^{2,1} & \xi_4^{1,2} & \xi_4^{2,2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} s^1 & 0 \\ 0 & s^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_1^{2,1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \xi_1^{1,2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \xi_1^{2,2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{1} := \text{identity } 4 \times 4 \text{ matrix}, \\ &\mathcal{V} := \operatorname{diag}\{v^{1,1}, v^{2,1}, v^{1,2}, v^{2,2}\}, \\ &\Xi := \operatorname{diag}\{1, \xi_1^{2,1}, \xi_1^{1,2}, \xi_1^{2,2}\} \text{ and} \\ &\mathcal{U} := 4 \times 4 \text{ matrix with 1 in each entry} \end{aligned}$$

moreover, we set $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{V}\mathcal{U}\Xi$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} f(c)=0 &\Leftrightarrow (c-q\mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}})(c+\mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}})=0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{M}-(q+1)\mathbf{1}]=0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{VU}\Xi[\mathcal{VU}\Xi-(q+1)\mathbf{1}]=0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{V}\big[\mathcal{U}\Xi\mathcal{VU}-(q+1)\mathcal{U}\big]\Xi=0. \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to check that

$$\mathcal{U}D\mathcal{U}=Tr(D)\cdot\mathcal{U},\text{ for each diagonal matrix }D. \tag{A.2}$$

By (A.2), we have $\mathcal{U}\Xi\mathcal{VU}-(q+1)\mathcal{U}=x\mathcal{U}$ where $x:=Tr(\Xi\mathcal{V})-(q+1)$. Hence

$$\mathcal{V}\big[\mathcal{U}\Xi\mathcal{VU}-(q+1)\mathcal{U}\big]\Xi=x\mathcal{VU}\Xi=x\mathcal{M}.$$

Since $c+\mathrm{Id}_{V^{\otimes 2}}\neq 0$, we have that $\mathcal{M}$ is not zero so that $\mathcal{V}\big[\mathcal{U}\Xi\mathcal{VU}-(q+1)\mathcal{U}\big]\Xi=0$ is equivalent to $x=0$, i.e. to

$$v^{11}=q+1-\left(v^{2,1}\xi_1^{2,1}+v^{1,2}\xi_1^{1,2}+v^{2,2}\xi_1^{2,2}\right).$$

Hence we can apply this substitution. Then one easily gets that (3.8) is equivalent to the following extra conditions

$$\begin{aligned} s^1 &= -\frac{1}{q+1}\left(v^{2,1}\xi_3^{2,1}+v^{1,2}\xi_3^{1,2}+v^{2,2}\xi_3^{2,2}\right), \\ s^2 &= -\frac{1}{q+1}\left(v^{2,1}\xi_4^{2,1}+v^{1,2}\xi_4^{1,2}+v^{2,2}\xi_4^{2,2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

These conditions force $\beta=0$, which is a contradiction (we performed the computation by means of [AX]).

Clearly also assuming $x_2\otimes x_2\notin\mathrm{Im}(h(c))$ one gets a contradiction. In conclusion we can assume $x_i\otimes x_i\in\mathrm{Im}(h(c))$ for each $i\in\{1,2\}$. Then c and β take the form

$$c=\begin{pmatrix} -1 & c_{11}^{21} & c_{11}^{12} & 0 \\ 0 & c_{21}^{21} & c_{21}^{12} & 0 \\ 0 & c_{12}^{21} & c_{12}^{12} & 0 \\ 0 & c_{22}^{21} & c_{22}^{12} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \beta=\begin{pmatrix} \beta_1^{11} & \beta_1^{21} & \beta_1^{12} & \beta_1^{22} \\ \beta_2^{11} & \beta_2^{21} & \beta_2^{12} & \beta_2^{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We distinguish between different cases.

CASE 1) $\beta_2^{11}\neq 0$. In this case, by Proposition 3.23, we can assume $\beta_2^{11}=1$.

Using the left-hand side of (3.6), we get $c_{21}^{21}=0, c_{12}^{21}=1, c_{22}^{21}=0$.

Using the right-hand side of (3.6), we get a contradiction.

CASE 2) $\beta_2^{11}=0$.

Using the left-hand side of (3.6), we get $\beta_1^{11}=0$.

CASE 2.1) $\beta_1^{22}\neq 0$. In this case, by Proposition 3.23, we can assume $\beta_1^{22}=1$.

Using the left-hand side of (3.6), we get $c_{12}^{12}=0$, $c_{11}^{12}=0, c_{21}^{12}=0$.

Using the right-hand side of (3.6), we get a contradiction.

CASE 2.2) $\beta_1^{22}=0$.

Using the left-hand side of (3.6), we get $\beta_2^{22}=0$.

CASE 2.2.1) $\beta_2^{21}\neq 0$. In this case, by Proposition 3.23, we can assume $\beta_2^{21}=1$.

Using the left-hand side of (3.6), we get $c_{22}^{21}=0, c_{12}^{21}=0$.

Using the right-hand side of (3.6), we get a contradiction.

CASE 2.2.2) $\beta_2^{21}=0$. Since $\dim(\mathrm{Im}\beta)=2$ we have $\beta_2^{12}\neq 0$. By Proposition 3.23, we can assume $\beta_2^{12}=1$.

Using (3.8), we obtain $c_{12}^{21}=0, c_{12}^{12}=-1$.

Using the left-hand side of (3.6), we get $\beta_1^{21} = 0$ which contradicts the condition $\dim(\mathrm{Im}\beta) = 2$. $\square$

References

[AG2] N. Andruskiewitsch, M. Graña, *From racks to pointed Hopf algebras.* Adv. Math. **178** (2003), no. 2, 177–243.

[AS3] N. Andruskiewitsch, H-J. Schneider, *Pointed Hopf algebras.* New directions in Hopf algebras, 1–68, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., **43**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[Ar] A. Ardizzoni, *A First Sight Towards Primitively Generated Connected Braided Bialgebras*, submitted. (arXiv:0805.3391v3)

[AMS1] A. Ardizzoni, C. Menini and D. Ştefan, *Braided Bialgebras of Hecke-type*, J. Algebra, Vol. **321** (2009), 847-865.

[AMS1] A. Ardizzoni, C. Menini and D. Ştefan, *PBW deformations of braided symmetric algebras and a Milnor-Moore type theorem for braided bialgebras*, preprint, (arXiv:math. QA/0604181v2)

[AX] AXIOM, The Scientific Computation System. System Version: Axiom (January 2009). (http://axiom-developer.org)

[Ba] J.C. Baez, *Hochschild homology in a braided tensor category*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **334** (1994), 885-906.

[BG] A. Braverman and D. Gaitsgory, Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt Theorem for Quadratic Algebras of Koszul Type, J. Algebra **181** (1996), 315–328.

[Gu] D. Gurevich, *Hecke symmetries and braided Lie algebras.* Spinors, twistors, Clifford algebras and quantum deformations (Sobótka Castle, 1992), 317–326, Fund. Theories Phys., **52**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1993

[Kh] V. K. Kharchenko, *Connected Hopf algebras,* J. Alg. **307** (2007), 24–48.

[Man] Yu. I. Manin, *Quantum groups and noncommutative geometry.* Université de Montréal, Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Montreal, QC, 1988.

[MS] A. Milinski, H.-J. Schneider, *Pointed indecomposable Hopf algebras over Coxeter groups.* New trends in Hopf algebra theory (La Falda, 1999), 215–236, Contemp. Math. **267**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.

[Mo] S. Montgomery, *Hopf Algebras and their actions on rings,* CMBS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **82** (1993).

[Sw] M. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebras*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.

[Ta] M. Takeuchi, *Survey of braided Hopf algebras*, Contemp. Math. **267** (2000), 301–324.

UNIVERSITY OF FERRARA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VIA MACHIAVELLI 35, FERRARA, I-44100, ITALY
E-mail address: `alessandro.ardizzoni@unife.it`
URL: `http://www.unife.it/utenti/alessandro.ardizzoni`

UNIVERSITY OF FERRARA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VIA MACHIAVELLI 35, FERRARA, I-44100, ITALY
E-mail address: `f.stumbo@unife.it`
URL: `http://www.unife.it/utenti/fabio.stumbo`